

**DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF BRONCHIOLITIS OBLITERANS SYNDROME: AN
INTERNATIONAL ISHLT/ATS/ERS CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE**

ON-LINE SUPPLEMENT

Chair:

Keith C. Meyer, MD, MS, University of WI School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI, USA

Co-Chairs:

Ganesh Raghu, MD, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA

Geert Verleden, MD, University of Leuven, Belgium

Paul Corris, MD, Freeman Hospital, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

Allan Glanville, Sydney, Australia

Paul Aurora, MD, MRCP, PhD, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London, UK

Jim J. Egan, MD, Dublin, Ireland

Committee Members:

Selim Arcasoy, Robert Aris, Robin Avery, John Belperio, Juergen Behr, Sangeeta Bhorade, Annette

Boehler, Cecilia Chaparro, Jason Christie, Lieven Dupont, Marc Estenne, Andrew Fisher, Edward

Garrity, Jr, Allan Glanville, Denis Hadjiliadis, Marshall Hertz, Shahid Husain, Martin Iversen, Shaf

Keshavjee, Vibha Lama, Deborah Jo Levine, Stephanie Levine, James Lee, Gilbert Massard, Atul Mehta,

Steven Nathan, Jonathan Orens, Scott Palmer, Greg Snell, Marc Stern, Sean Studer, Stuart Sweet, Henry

Tazelaar, Timothy Whelan, David Wilkes, Stuart Sweet, Trevor Williams, Martin Zamora

Methodologists: Jan Brozek and Kevin C. Wilson

Key Words: lung transplant; obliterative bronchiolitis; bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome, allograft rejection

Word Count: 8,914

CONTENTS

- 1.** Is BOS the best term to use for delayed, persistent lung allograft dysfunction?
- 2.** Do distinct BOS phenotypes exist?
- 3.** What major risk factors for BOS have been identified?
- 4.** How can an early and accurate diagnosis of BOS be made?
- 5.** How should BOS be treated?
- 6.** Are preventive strategies effective?
- 7.** Glossary of Terms

1. IS BOS THE BEST TERM TO USE FOR DELAYED, PERSISTENT LUNG ALLOGRAFT DYSFUNCTION?

The term BOS is used to connote delayed allograft deterioration secondary to the onset and persistence of airflow obstruction as manifested by a significant decline ($\geq 20\%$ from baseline value) in FEV₁. However, not all patients in whom a decline in FEV₁ and/or airflow obstruction develops have BOS, and OB may be present in allografts that do not display a significant pattern of airflow obstruction that meets previously defined criteria for the diagnosis of BOS (1,2). Several confounding conditions can also cause delayed allograft dysfunction (see TABLE 4 in Executive Summary). **Some of these entities may be reversible, and non-BOS causes of allograft dysfunction and FEV₁ decline should be excluded as diagnostic possibilities when the diagnosis of BOS is made.** These conditions include infection, acute rejection, disease recurrence, anastomotic complications, native lung hyperinflation in single lung transplantation (SLT) and a number of conditions that cause decreases in both the vital capacity (VC) and the FEV₁ (e.g., an increase in body mass index, muscular weakness, pleural effusion, etc.) without a decrease in the FEV₁/VC ratio. Restrictive allograft syndrome (RAS) has been recently identified as a form of lung allograft dysfunction that can cause an irreversible decline in FEV₁ to $< 80\%$ of baseline, but RAS is characterized by restrictive physiology and evidence of peripheral lung allograft fibrosis (3). It should be recognized, however, that these non-BOS conditions can also coexist with OB and must not necessarily be considered as a sole cause of delayed allograft dysfunction when detected. Additionally, delayed loss of allograft function should not be assumed to be irreversible and due to OB without excluding other causes of significant lung function decline.

The use of the term “CLAD” (chronic lung allograft dysfunction) has introduced some confusion in terminology for post-transplant delayed decline in allograft function. This term has been used in a variety of ways in the published literature and has been used interchangeably with the terms BOS and chronic rejection. The committee recognized that a precise definition is needed for the term CLAD.

2. DO DISTINCT BOS PHENOTYPES EXIST?

The identification of patient groups with specific attributes or patterns of disease that differ from the entire cohort of patients with BOS may allow the recognition of specific risk factors, pathogenetic disease mechanisms, and/or strategies for treatment and prevention. Many alloimmune and non-alloimmune factors have been associated with the development of BOS, including donor-recipient mismatching (4,5), infection with a variety of pathogens including viruses, bacteria, and fungi (6-11), episodes of acute

cellular rejection (12-14) lymphocytic bronchitis/bronchiolitis (15), reperfusion injury/primary graft dysfunction (16,17), and type of immunosuppressive regimen (18,19). Additionally, BOS has been associated with bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) neutrophilia (20,21), persistent infection (9), gastroesophageal reflux (GER) (22,23), and various indicators of compartmentalized immune activation including innate immune mechanisms (24), autoimmune activation (25), or perturbed immune regulation (26,27). However, distinct phenotypes of BOS that are based upon specific risk factors or other parameters have not been definitively established.

Distinct clinical phenotypes of timing and course of BOS have been suggested in the literature. The cumulative incidence of BOS at 5 years post-transplant may be as high as 80% (28-31). The International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT) Registry data of over 10,000 recipients followed from 1994 to 2006 reveal an incidence of 25% at 2.5 years and 50% at 5.6 years post-transplant (31). More recent data show a prevalence of 75% in patients who have survived to 10 years post-transplant (32). The onset and clinical course of BOS may be gradual over months to years but an abrupt onset with rapid decline also occurs (1,33,34). Median survival for recipients with acute onset BOS was noted to be 29 months vs. 58 months for later, chronic onset BOS (33). Burton et al. (30) found that progression of BOS from lower to higher grade increases the risk of mortality up to 3-fold, and a rapid decline in FEV1 of >20% has been associated with worse prognosis (1). Additionally, Brugiére et al. (34) found that recipients with early-onset BOS had lower mean FEV1, need for supplemental oxygen, and poorer graft survival than those with later-onset BOS. These observations suggest that recipients with early onset BOS are at risk of a more rapid decline in lung function and higher incidence of graft failure and death as compared to late-onset BOS. However, some patients with rapidly declining lung function may stabilize despite an initial rapid onset and loss of lung function (35).

Other phenotypes can be suggested on the basis of potential etiology (e.g. PGD-associated graft injury, detection of abnormal GER), bronchoscopic observations (e.g. BAL neutrophilia), or response to therapy (e.g. azithromycin-responsive). However, investigations to date still have not clearly established specific phenotypes on the basis of potential causes, specific biomarkers, or response to specific therapeutic interventions. A possible exception is a phenotype of recipients with significant BAL neutrophilia who respond to azithromycin therapy (36,37) such that FEV1 improves and the recipient no longer meets the spirometric criterion of depressed FEV1. These patients appear to have a reversible, BOS-like syndrome associated with BAL neutrophilia, and the recently published, randomized prospective clinical trial conducted by Vos et al. (38) suggested that prophylactic administration of azithromycin initiated shortly after transplantation suppressed the development of this syndrome. It has been suggested that

azithromycin responsiveness may identify a phenotype that characterized by increased neutrophils in BAL, while lack of response to azithromycin may characterize a fibroproliferative OB phenotype (36).

WHAT MAJOR RISK FACTORS FOR BOS HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED?

Although BOS has been associated with many risk factors, data are incomplete or sparse for many of these associations. The risk factors discussed below have reasonably good data to support their role as potential causes or contributors to OB/BOS.

Primary graft dysfunction

PGD affects 10-25% of all lung transplants and is a leading cause of early morbidity and mortality (39-42). PGD is a form of acute lung injury that is thought to be largely due to the effects of the periods of ischemia and reperfusion that occur during donor lung procurement and implantation. PGD is defined on the basis of the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio and the presence or absence of chest radiograph infiltrates assessed daily up to 72 hrs (Table 1) (39). A number of studies have not consistently linked PGD to BOS (17,43-46), but recent studies support a link between PGD and BOS (16,47,48). Daud et al. (16) used ISHLT consensus definitions for PGD and found a convincing association of PGD grade with increased risk of developing BOS Stage 1. More recent analysis of outcomes by this group identified a direct relationship between PGD severity at 24, 48, and 72 hours post-transplant and the risk of BOS (49), and PGD Grade 3 at all 3 time points was associated with the highest risk of developing BOS (RR 3.31 for grade 3 at 24 hrs). Interestingly, although PGD has been identified as a risk for BOS, a similar relationship of PGD with acute rejection or LB has not been observed (16).

Acute cellular rejection

Acute rejection (AR) after lung transplantation is defined as the presence of perivascular lymphocytic infiltrates (Grade A rejection) or the presence of small airway mononuclear infiltrates termed lymphocytic bronchiolitis (LB) (Grade B rejection) on transbronchial lung biopsy (TBLB) specimens. This classification system of acute rejection, developed by The Lung Rejection Study Group and endorsed by the Board of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation, is based on the intensity of the infiltrates which are graded in the following manner Grade A0 (none), A1 (minimal), A2 (mild), A3 (moderate), A4 (severe), BO (none), B1R (low grade), B2R (high grade), BX (ungradeable) (50).

Several studies that have identified Grade A acute rejection as a risk factor for the development of BOS, and Burton et al. (51) have shown via surveillance bronchoscopies that the risk of recurring AR is significantly increased following an initial episode of AR. Most of these studies have found that AR

remains a major risk factor for the development of BOS when time dependent Cox regression models were constructed and multivariate analyses accounted for other clinical events (13,14,28,44,52,53). One systematic review revealed that seven studies showed a significant association of acute rejection with at least 320 cases of BOS (55). Both late acute rejection (13,14,44) and increasing frequency and severity of acute rejection (13,44,52) have been found to be risk factors for BOS.

The significance of minimal AR (Grade A1) rejection for BOS risk after lung transplantation has been unclear. The majority of earlier studies have shown that increasing frequency and grade of acute rejection are highly associated with the development of BOS (13,44,51,52). Hopkins et al. (56) have reported that minimal AR remains relatively prevalent on surveillance transbronchial biopsies up to 2 years post-transplant. Minimal AR was recently identified as a risk factor for the development of BOS, and one study has shown that patients with multiple episodes of Grade A1 rejection have an earlier onset of BOS. A second study subsequently showed that a single episode of A1 rejection was independently associated with the progression to BOS by univariate Cox regression analysis and subsequent multivariate models (45). Lastly, two studies suggested that augmenting immunosuppression for A1 AR may be associated with a decreased risk of developing BOS (56,57).

Grade B rejection has also been identified as a potential risk factor for the development of BOS (13,15,44,53,58). The grading of LB remains more controversial due to the wider variation in scores between different pathologists, potential coexistence of infections and inadequate sampling of small airways in TBLB. Nevertheless, recent investigations have identified LB as a major risk factor for BOS (15,51), and it has been suggested that this increased risk may be due to its association with BAL neutrophilia (59). Husain and colleagues showed that a higher cumulative score of Grade B rejection was highly associated with the development of BOS (13). Recently, Glanville and colleagues showed that the highest Grade B score was associated with BOS by rigorous multivariable Cox proportional hazards analysis (15).

Humoral rejection & anti-HLA antibodies

Multiple retrospective studies and one single-center prospective study suggest that an association between pre-transplant and de novo anti-HLA antibodies and the development of BOS exists (60-64). The development of BOS can lag for more than a year from the time when such alloantibodies are detected (62). However, a retrospective study showed no difference in acute rejection (AR) (episodes/first 100 days) (2.1 vs. 1.9), BOS (38.9% vs. 31.2%) and 1- and 3- year survival rates for 21 of 247 lung transplant

recipients with panel reactive antibodies (PRA) positivity >10% as compared to those with low PRA values (65).

Diagnostic criteria for AMR after lung transplant remain controversial, and the ISHLT revision of the nomenclature of lung rejection could not reach a consensus on the histologic hallmarks of AMR in lung transplantation (50). However, the National Conference of AMR in Solid Organ Transplantation working group proposed a general classification that includes the detection of circulating donor-specific antibodies, evidence of C4d deposition in the allograft, allograft tissue pathology consistent with AMR, and evidence of allograft dysfunction (66). However, C4d and C3d staining is not specific for lung transplant associated-AMR; C4d and C3d staining has been demonstrated in primary graft dysfunction, allograft infections and in normal-appearing allograft lung tissue obtained from routine surveillance TBLB (67,68). The treatment of pre-sensitized or de novo produced anti-HLA antibodies in lung transplant recipients have only been published in case reports and small single-center series, and any possible effect of various treatments for AMR on the risk of developing BOS remains unknown.

If increased donor-specific antibody levels are detected in the context of declining lung function consistent with presentation of BOS, biopsy specimens obtained via TBLB can be examined to detect histopathological evidence of AMR (necrotizing septal capillary injury involving the interalveolar septae accompanied by intra-alveolar fibrin and hemosiderin deposition with septal fibroplasia as well as the presence of intracapillary macrophages, with acute lung allograft injury), which may be seen with or without the presence of C4d positive staining.

Gastroesophageal reflux

Multiple studies have reported a high prevalence of abnormal GER in patients with advanced lung disease (22,69-75), and GER with presumed aspiration has been linked to both subacute and chronic lung allograft dysfunction (76-89). Additionally, acid reflux may worsen following transplantation (90). Sweet et al. (70,71) have reported that although a majority of patients who undergo transplant evaluation have some evidence of significant abnormal GER, clear symptoms of GER are frequently absent. Although gastroparesis and esophageal dysmotility may also exist among patients undergoing lung transplant evaluation, the prevalence of these disorders is less clear. Despite varying definitions of abnormal GER with some studies measuring only acid and not non-acid reflux, a consistent association has been observed between the presence or severity of abnormal GER and an increased risk for BOS. A negative correlation was found between increasing severity of acid reflux (as measured by 24 hour pH study) and post-transplant FEV1 (80). Additionally, the presence of non-acid reflux as measured by impedance

testing was reported to increase the risk for BOS nearly 3-fold (87), and GER has been linked to collagen V sensitization and BOS (91). GER and aspiration of bile acids have been linked to BOS (83). Bile acids in BAL fluid have been found to be increased in cross-sectional studies of patients with BOS (83,84,92), and the presence bile acids in BAL fluid significantly increased the risk of BOS onset (83,92) as well as a poor response to azithromycin therapy (93). Recent studies in animal models of lung transplantation suggest that gastric aspiration might enhance allorecognition and promote lung allograft rejection (94,95), and Cantu et al. (81) reported that early post-transplant fundoplication was associated with greater freedom from subsequent BOS.

Viral infection

Infection caused by community-acquired respiratory viruses (CARV) and the β -herpes viruses can cause serious infection and complications in lung transplant recipients. More than 75% of solid organ transplant recipients develop primary or reactivation infection with cytomegalovirus (CMV), a member of the β -herpes virus family, following transplantation (96). In the early years of lung transplantation CMV pneumonitis occurred in up to 50% of recipients, especially in D+R- (donor-positive, recipient-negative) recipients and those with more intense immunosuppression. A large number of studies have linked pulmonary CMV infection to BOS (14,28,54,97-99). The prevalence of CMV seroconversion antibodies in the general population increases with advancing age such that more than 90% of immunocompetent individuals beyond age 80 years will be seropositive vs. approximately 40% of young adults (100). Primary infection is followed by latency, not eradication in the normal host (101). CMV infection engages both the innate and adaptive components of immunity and causes upregulation of HLA class I and class II antigens on epithelial cells (102,103), and it stimulates and augments the generation of allogeneic immune responses and pro-inflammatory cytokines (102,104). ISHLT Registry data have shown that survival over the first 5 years post-transplant is significantly worse for recipients receiving a lung from a positive donor (D+R- or D+R+) vs. recipients who receive lungs from seronegative donors (D-R+ or D-R-) (105), suggesting that de novo infection with the donor CMV strain is a significant risk factor for decreased post-transplant survival.

Prophylactic and preemptive strategies to prevent and/or treat CMV infection have had a considerable impact on the incidence of CMV disease in lung transplant recipients (106-109). Relatively small, retrospective studies of peri-operative ganciclovir prophylaxis showed a decrease in CMV disease, and ganciclovir prophylaxis also appeared to delay the onset of BOS (7,110,111). Additionally, Weigt et al. (6) found that increased levels of the CCL2 chemokine in BAL fluid during episodes of CMV pneumonitis predicted subsequent development of BOS. A recent, prospective single-center study found a

CMV pneumonitis incidence of 21% within 6 months of transplant in a cohort of 231 recipients despite short-course prophylaxis of high-risk recipients, and CMV pneumonitis significantly increased the risk of BOS (HR 2.19) and diminished survival (HR 1.89) (112). Additionally, a prospective, randomized 11-center trial of 3 vs. 12 months of post-transplant valganciclovir prophylaxis for D+R-, D+R+, and D-R+ recipients showed significantly greater CMV infection (64% vs. 10%), CMV disease (32% vs. 4%), and disease severity for 3 vs. 12 months of therapy without any significant difference in rates of acute rejection, opportunistic infection, CMV UL97 ganciclovir-resistance mutations, or adverse events (113).

Infection with other β -herpes viruses can complicate post-transplant outcome. These include Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), herpes simplex virus (HSV), varicella zoster virus (VZV), and human herpes viruses 6 (HHV-6) and 7 (HHV-7). A prospective cohort study of 385 lung transplant recipients linked repetitive detection of EBV DNA in peripheral blood with the development of BOS (114). Additionally, small studies have associated HHV-6 and HHV-7 with BOS (115,116), although a recent prospective study of 93 recipients receiving prolonged antiviral prophylaxis did not identify an association of β -herpes virus replication or viral load with the development of acute rejection or BOS (117).

The CARV virions include influenza A and B, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), parainfluenza viruses, rhinoviruses, enteroviruses, adenoviruses, human metapneumovirus, human coronavirus, and human bocavirus. Infections with CARV can be asymptomatic, cause mild symptoms, cause significant respiratory tract disease, or lead to acute respiratory insufficiency and death. Recovery of virus during infections suspicious for CARV can range from 34 to 66% (8,118,119). Numerous retrospective investigations have linked CARV infections with BOS in up to 60% of affected lung transplant recipients (8,120-124). More recent prospective studies have also found symptomatic CARV infection to be a significant risk for BOS (125,126). However, a single-season prospective study by Milstone et al. (119) did not identify a significant association of CARV infection with subsequent graft dysfunction, although another single-season case-control study by Kumar et al. (8) showed that 18% of recipients with symptomatic CARV infection developed subsequent BOS vs. none of the control group. In a large retrospective study of nearly 576 pediatric recipients, however, an association of CARV infection occurring within the first year post-transplant with BOS was not identified at one year post-transplant, although a significant impact on survival was observed (127). Additionally, a smaller single-center retrospective study of 55 pediatric recipients did not identify a significant correlation of CARV infection and mortality or chronic allograft dysfunction (128).

Bacterial infection

Bacterial infections may trigger, amplify or promote persistence of lung allograft inflammatory and allo- or autoimmune responses and may pose an increased risk for BOS when such infections occur. Post-transplant bacterial infection is exceedingly common in recipients with prior septic lung disease (CF and non-CF bronchiectasis) and is a leading cause of death in recipients with established BOS. Transient bacterial airway colonization can significantly increase BAL neutrophils and other indicators of lung inflammation (129). Botha et al. (9) examined 155 consecutive lung transplants and reported that *de novo* allograft colonization with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was strongly associated with developing BOS within 2 years of transplant (23.4% colonized vs. 7.7% non-colonized).

Vos et al. (130) reported that persistent *Pseudomonas* colonization was an even greater risk for BOS than *de novo* colonization, and Gottlieb et al. (131) found that persistent allograft colonization with *Pseudomonas* in a cohort of 59 patients with CF significantly increased the prevalence of BOS. Additionally, a retrospective, cross-sectional, case-control study of 24 stable bilateral lung transplant recipients showed that BAL bile acid levels, neutrophils, and IL-8 levels correlated significantly with *Pseudomonas* colonization (132), suggesting that the presence of abnormal GER and microaspiration may be a risk factor for colonization of human lung allografts by *Pseudomonas*.

Fungal infection

Invasive fungal infections are an important cause of morbidity and mortality in lung transplant recipients. Despite recent advances and the widespread use of antifungal prophylaxis, the cumulative incidence of fungal infections in organ transplant recipients at one year was 8.6% in a large multicenter prospective study (133). This rate of fungal infection was comparable to that of mismatched allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients (134), and *Aspergillus* infection was observed in 80% of cases followed by *Candida* species for solid organ recipients (135). Over half of the cases of invasive aspergillosis in lung recipients occurred a year after lung transplantation, and mortality in patients with invasive aspergillosis was 29% at 12 weeks (135).

Two retrospective cohort studies have specifically looked at the association of fungal infection or disease and the subsequent development of invasive fungal infections in lung transplant recipients (10,11). In one study of 160 lung transplant recipients from 1990-2005, the role of various infections, including fungal pneumonia or pneumonitis, was evaluated in patients surviving greater than six months (10). Fungal pneumonia or pneumonitis was noted to be an independent predictor of BOS with a hazard ratio of 2.1 (95%CI 1.1-4.0) for early (0-100 days post-transplant) and 1.5 (95%CI 1.1-1.9) for late (\geq one year) fungal pneumonia on multivariate analysis (10). However, this study was prone to evaluation bias as surveillance bronchoscopies were not routinely performed on recipients, which may have led to

significant overestimation of hazard ratios (10). Fifty-four recipients developed *Aspergillus* colonization prior to a BOS diagnosis in another retrospective analysis of 201 lung transplant recipients (11). In the multivariate Cox regression analysis, *Aspergillus* colonization was independently associated with the subsequent development of BOS (HR=1.81; 95% CI 1.03-3.19) and BOS-associated mortality (HR=2.57; 95% CI 1.19-5.55). Additionally, recipients with new or persistent *Aspergillus* colonization after developing BOS had increased risk of progression to Stage 3 BOS or death. Interestingly, pre-transplant *Aspergillus* colonization did not predispose to post-transplant colonization in this study. However, all patients with pre-transplant *Aspergillus* colonization received 6 months of antifungal prophylaxis.

Autoimmunity

It has recently become apparent that *de novo* immune responses to self-antigens (e.g. myosin or vimentin in heart transplantation, collagen IV or VI in renal transplantation, and collagen V or K-alpha-1-tubulin in lung transplantation) can develop post-transplantation and may be induced by alloimmune responses (136,137). Hagedorn et al. (138) have reported that sera from patients with higher grades of BOS contain autoantibodies that react to a number of self-antigens. T cells that specifically recognize collagen V have been shown to induce peribronchiolar and perivascular inflammation (139), and these responses can be suppressed by CD4+CD45RChigh regulatory T cells (140,141) that likely account for the suppression of rejection responses and airway pathology via the collagen V-induced oral tolerance that has been observed in animal models of lung transplantation (142-144). These responses appear to be IL-17/Th17 cell-dependent (25,141,145) as observed for non-transplant-related autoimmune disorders, and IL-10-producing regulatory T cells (suppressor IL-10 T cells) that are dependent on the presence of regulatory CD4+25+ and may suppress collagen V autoimmune sensitization decline along with loss of these regulatory T cells in peripheral blood of human lung transplant recipients who develop chronic rejection (146,147). Additionally, collagen V sensitization has been associated with primary graft dysfunction (148-150). A prospective study that monitored peripheral blood mononuclear cell responses in 54 lung transplant recipients over a 7-yr period showed a strong correlation of collagen V-specific responses with the incidence (HR 5.4 for BOS-1, HR 9.8 for BOS-2) and severity of BOS (25), and induction of collagen V reactivity has been associated with abnormal GER and the development of BOS (91). Additionally, Saini et al. (151) found a strong correlation of the appearance of donor-specific anti-HLA antibodies with the detection of antibodies directed against self-antigens (collagen V and K- α 1 tubulin) in a retrospective analysis of 42 lung transplant recipients with BOS.

BAL Neutrophilia

A persistent increase in BAL neutrophils has been identified as a predictor of mortality (152), and numerous studies have shown evidence of neutrophil recruitment and activation when BAL was performed in recipients with acute rejection, infection, and/or BOS (152-155). Zheng et al. (156) observed early and persistent BAL neutrophilia in recipients who developed BOS as compared to stable patients who remained BOS-free, and BAL neutrophilia was most intense if it was also associated with concomitant lower tract infection. Neurohr et al. (20) found that increased BAL neutrophils, especially a BAL neutrophil percentage $\geq 20\%$ (hazard ratio 3.57), was predictive of subsequent BOS with a median onset at 232 days following bronchoscopy (which was performed in clinically “stable” patients 3-12 months post-transplant). Additionally, Schloma et al. (157) also reported that increased BAL neutrophils were associated with early onset BOS. Subsequent investigations by Gottlieb et al. (37) and Vos et al. (36) have also linked BAL neutrophilia to BOS and have demonstrated that a subset of patients with BAL neutrophilia ($\geq 15\text{-}20\%$) can have a significant clinical response to the administration of azithromycin such that pulmonary function improves enough to no longer meet spirometric criteria for BOS, a phenomenon that has been termed “neutrophilic reversible allograft dysfunction (NRAD).” Whether a significant degree of histopathologic OB is associated with NRAD is currently unknown.

HOW CAN AN EARLY AND ACCURATE DIAGNOSIS OF BOS BE MADE?

Lung function testing

Spirometry is easily performed and has been adopted as the test of choice to monitor recipients for allograft dysfunction following lung transplantation. Additionally, it can be easily used daily in the outpatient setting and, hence, may detect the onset of FEV1 decline earlier than clinic spirometry, which is often performed infrequently and is associated with additional costs (158). Because the onset of graft dysfunction can be insidious (1,33,34) spirometric measurements may take some time to reach the threshold of BOS Stage I or even Stage 0-p. Consequently, the diagnosis of BOS is often made later in the course of the disease process, and this may in part explain the lack of efficacy for therapies that have been used to treat BOS. An early and accurate diagnosis of progressive allograft dysfunction leading to BOS may be beneficial by allowing the initiation of potentially effective treatment options earlier in the course of disease and thereby preserving allograft function and even possibly reversing function loss.

Several studies have evaluated the predictive value of the ISHLT BOS grading system including the BOS-0p threshold that was adopted to assist in earlier detection of BOS onset (159-161). These studies suggest that the FEV1 criterion for BOS-0p (10-19% decrease in FEV1) may provide useful information that predicts the subsequent development of BOS in both single and bilateral lung transplant recipients.

Lama et al. (161) found that the probability of testing positive for BOS-0p by the FEV1 criterion was 71% at two years before the onset of BOS and the specificity of the FEV1 criterion was 93% in single lung transplant (SLT) recipients. Interestingly, a higher specificity and predictive ability was shown by this criterion for SLT recipients with underlying restrictive physiology. Hachem and colleagues (160) similarly describe a sensitivity of 74% and a specificity of 86% for the BOS-0p FEV1 threshold in predicting the subsequent development of BOS in bilateral lung transplants. Stage BOS-0p has also been defined by a $\geq 25\%$ decrease in forced expiratory flow, mid-expiratory phase (FEF₂₅₋₇₅). Assessment of the predictive ability of this criterion has had mixed results. Lama and colleagues (161) found that the FEF₂₅₋₇₅ criterion lacked sensitivity and specificity as the sole predictor for BOS in single lung transplant recipients. However, Nathan (159) and colleagues found a 80% sensitivity and 82.6% specificity of the FEF₂₅₋₇₅ criterion in 43 single lung transplant recipients. Differences between these two studies may be related to different statistical techniques, sample size, and follow up time. Hachem et al. (160) found that the FEF₂₅₋₇₅ criterion had a 78% sensitivity but only a 44% specificity in bilateral lung transplant recipients. Although BOS is classically considered to be associated with an obstructive pattern of lung function decline, more recent data suggest that FEV1 and FVC can decline together (2) suggesting a restrictive ventilatory defect may be observed, which is not consistent with the current definition of BOS and may identify a subset of patients with RAS rather than BOS.

The 6-minute walk test (6-MWT) has proven to be a very useful instrument for detecting exertional oxyhemoglobin desaturation, the need for supplemental oxygen requirements on exertion, and disease stability vs. progression via determination of walk distance and oxyhemoglobin saturation (SpO₂) profile (162,163). However, parameters other than lung function can have an impact on 6-MWT results. These include cardiac function, muscle strength, conditioning, or technical problems and/or lack of adherence to strict methodological protocols. Although centers may use this test to monitor lung transplant recipients in the clinical post-transplant setting, there is a paucity of published data using the 6-MWT for screening and serial monitoring for allograft dysfunction. Nathan et al. (164) retrospectively examined the 6-MWT in 42 patients with BOS and found that walk distance was a strong predictor of survival and performed better than spirometry.

Thoracic imaging

Although posterior-anterior routine chest radiographs (CXR) are frequently performed and useful to identify and screen for significant abnormalities and complications post-transplant, it is generally recognized that the CXR is relatively insensitive and non-specific, and CXR imaging is a poor predictor of early allograft rejection or BOS (165,166). High-resolution CT scanning (HRCT) can detect numerous

changes associated with acute rejection such as interlobular septal thickening, ground-glass opacities, consolidation, and volume loss, but the HRCT has limited accuracy in the diagnosis or severity grading of acute rejection (167). HRCT imaging in BOS can show bronchial wall thickening, mosaic attenuation, air trapping, small nodular and linear branching opacities in a bronchiolar distribution, and bronchiectasis (168). Leung et al. (169) obtained inspiratory and expiratory HRCT in 21 (11 with biopsy-proven OB, 10 without BOS) transplant recipients. Expiratory images showed air trapping in 91% of recipients with OB and had a specificity of 80% and diagnostic accuracy for BO of 86%. Bankier et al. (170) examined a series of heart-lung transplant recipients and found that an air-trapping threshold of 32% distinguished recipients with BOS vs. those without with a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 89%. In contrast, Lee et al. (171) examined 7 recipients with biopsy-proven OB and 21 with normal lung function and biopsy findings and found a lower sensitivity of 74%, specificity 67%, and accuracy 71%. Similarly, Berstad et al. (172) followed 40 consecutive recipients for a median of 3 years post-transplant and found that air trapping on HRCT had a sensitivity of 77%, specificity 74%, positive predictive value 68%, and negative predictive value 81%. Lastly, Konen et al. (173) examined consecutive HRCTs in 52 recipients (26 with BOS) and found a lower sensitivity for air trapping (44%) and mosaic attenuation (20%) with early BOS but specificities of 100% and 96% respectively. Early studies of (3)He-MRI suggest that this modality may be more sensitive than HRCT for detecting OB, but larger studies are needed to determine the clinical utility of imaging with MRI using rare gases (174-176).

Bronchoscopy

Bronchoscopy with TBLB and BAL for lung transplant recipients has been developed as a means of detecting infection and/or allograft rejection, which can both be occult, with the purpose of providing appropriate therapy that can prevent loss of allograft function and OB, and TBLB is the key procedure for detecting the presence of acute rejection, LB, or other parenchymal abnormalities (177,178). Burton et al. (178) have demonstrated that surveillance TBLB can retrieve tissue sample that show changes consistent with OB. In this study, OB was not detected until after 3 months post-transplant, but the number of patients with changes of OB as well as other forms of tissue fibrosis steadily increased over a 2-year time period post-transplant. Concomitantly obtained BAL fluid can be analyzed for evidence of significant infection and to determine the BAL immune cell profile (179,180). The majority of transplant centers perform surveillance bronchoscopy to facilitate optimal recipient management and intervene with appropriate therapy if significant occult abnormalities are detected (181,182). However, many centers do not routinely perform surveillance bronchoscopy, and no clinically significant difference in post-transplant outcomes including survival was identified in one single-center, retrospective study for a cohort of recipients subjected to scheduled surveillance biopsies vs. a cohort for which only clinically indicated

biopsies were performed (183). No adequately powered, multi-center, randomized trials of surveillance versus clinically-mandated TBLB to provide firm evidence of a strong risk-benefit ratio for either strategy have been reported in the literature. Data from centers that have either abandoned surveillance procedures (184) or perform them only for clinical trials (183,185) have been confounded by the use of historical controls or small mismatched cohorts. Furthermore, operator performance has not been analyzed critically as a determinant of risks associated with these procedures. Nonetheless, it is acknowledged that high volume bronchoscopy centers report low rates of morbid sequelae (186) with some exceptions (187), and a comprehensive safety protocol can minimize the risk of complications (188). Surveillance bronchoscopy with serial BAL sampling may detect early persistent neutrophilia that may be amenable to azithromycin treatment, which may suppress the neutrophilia and prevent FEV1 decline with progression to BOS (36,38).

Predictors of BOS

The identification and validation of early markers that detect early, subclinical BOS have the potential to allow early interventions that may prevent or lessen progressive declines in allograft function. Persistent BAL neutrophilia (see above) and the presence of bile acids in BAL (23,83,93) have been linked to risk for the development of BOS (see above). Numerous other biomarkers in respiratory secretions, peripheral blood, or exhaled gas have been examined and proposed as potential markers and/or predictors of OB (26,154,189-210). Other potential predictors such as detection of bronchial hyperresponsiveness (212,213), altered patterns of ventilation distribution (154,214), or advanced imaging with magnetic resonance (174-176,215) may detect early BOS, but these have not been validated as having adequate predictive power. Although many potentially valuable markers of evolving BOS have been studied to date, none have been demonstrated to reliably predict impending allograft dysfunction prior to the onset of changes in pulmonary function. Additional research is needed to identify reliable markers of BOS.

Diagnostic approach

When clinically stable lung transplant recipients develop symptoms (e.g. dyspnea, cough, fatigue) and/or signs (decline in FEV1 on home spirometry or at clinic visit follow-up evaluation), the symptoms and signs may indicate allograft dysfunction. A common approach to such patients is to initiate clinical evaluation in the outpatient setting that is followed by specific testing (imaging, confirmatory spirometry, and bronchoscopy as indicated) to identify a specific cause or causes of lung function decline (see Figure 1 in the main guideline). Non-OB/BOS causes must be ruled out before a definite diagnosis of BOS can be made. If a non-OB/BOS cause is identified but lung function does not significantly improve and FEV1 decline meets criteria for BOS, OB may also be present in the allograft and contributing to functional

decline. If BOS appears to be the cause of lung function decline, treatment approaches discussed in the next section can be considered, which will depend somewhat on the BOS stage and the tempo of lung function decline.

Special considerations in children

Because children under 4 years of age are generally unable to perform spirometry, making a diagnosis of chronic allograft dysfunction can be considerably more challenging. Prior to age 4 spirometry results are often unreliable, and the availability of normative reference data is limited. Therefore, for young children, the FEV1 and FEF₂₅₋₇₅ measurements that are required for the diagnosis of BOS-p or BOS may be unattainable or unreliable. Although BOS criteria do not exist for infants, infant pulmonary function techniques (using external compression vests) can provide information regarding the presence of airflow obstruction. These tests require anesthesia, specialized equipment and experience; therefore, such testing cannot be performed as frequently as spirometry (216,217). For older children who are capable of performing spirometry, to account for growth, the most recent BOS grading scheme revision recommends that percent predicted values, rather than absolute measurements, be used for calculating the BOS score (218), but this approach has yet to be validated. Thus, for infants and to a certain extent older children, diagnosis of chronic allograft dysfunction by pulmonary function criteria remains problematic.

Transbronchial biopsies (TBBx) are also more challenging in small children, particularly in infants and toddlers. Their small airways limit the size of endoscopes used for bronchoscopy and therefore the size of the suction channel. Most pediatric endoscopes have suction channels that are 1.2 mm in diameter (compared to 2.0 mm or greater for larger scopes); forceps that fit into these smaller channels significantly restrict the ability to retrieve sufficient tissue to diagnose rejection. Moreover, sufficient airway tissue is rarely present in such biopsies to allow assessment of airway inflammation or fibrosis (50,219).

Thus, modalities used in adults to establish a clinical or histologic diagnosis of chronic allograft dysfunction are unavailable or suboptimal in infants and small children. For this reason, many pediatric centers add alternative lung function measurements or imaging modalities such as ventilation/perfusion scanning and inspiratory/expiratory HRCT scanning to enhance the ability to detect the presence of airflow obstruction. Similarly, pediatric centers are more likely to use surgical lung biopsy to confirm a diagnosis of suspected OB, if it is suspected on the basis of these multiple non-invasive testing modalities, to support decisions regarding therapy (220).

HOW SHOULD BOS BE TREATED?

Intensified immunosuppression

Because BOS is generally equated with chronic rejection, intensified immunosuppression has been viewed as a logical therapeutic strategy, and the administration of high-dose corticosteroids is a standard treatment measure for newly detected AR (221,222).

Although increased doses of corticosteroids are usually the initial approach to treating acute rejection, there are no data to support intensified doses of corticosteroids as an effective therapy for BOS. Ross et al. (223) reported that no recipient of a cohort of 10 patients with evolving BOS showed any response to repetitive courses of high-dose methylprednisolone (Evidence Table 3). Chronic high-dose corticosteroid administration is associated with numerous, significant adverse side effects and has not been shown to benefit patients with BOS.

Two small case series (224,225) have suggested that cytolytic agents may slow the rate of FEV1 decline, but occult AR was not adequately ruled out, and the data are not sufficient to support the use of cytolytics. Aerosolized cyclosporine has been examined at one institution in patients with histologic evidence of OB (226,227); a beneficial effect on survival was suggested, but these results have not been replicated elsewhere. Other approaches that have suggested benefit include the addition of methotrexate (228,229), addition of cyclophosphamide (230), addition of mycophenolate (231,232) or mycophenolate plus tacrolimus (233), or addition of sirolimus (234,235).

Switching from CSA to tacrolimus has been reported to slow lung function loss by a number of investigators who published case series analyses (19,223,34,236-243). However, no prospective, randomized studies have been performed to support this switch.

Other approaches to augment immunosuppression include total lymphoid irradiation (TLI) and extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP). These therapies attempt to reduce the numbers of sensitized lymphocytes that may be driving immunologically-mediated chronic rejection that may be causing BOS. Limited data (244-251) suggest that ECP may reverse, stabilize or decrease the rate of decline of lung function in some patients with BOS. However, the mechanism of action is unclear but may lead to modification of host responses such as the induction of a clone-specific anti-lymphocyte immune response, altered cytokine production, and/or induction of regulatory T-cells. ECP is generally well-

tolerated, albeit expensive but risks include infectious complications and/or bone marrow suppression (252).

Limited results with TLI have also been published (253-255), and these case series (total recipients=54) suggested that TLI slowed the rate of decline in FEV1 for some patients who could tolerate TLI and completed the majority of their treatments. Fisher et al. (254) reported significant reduction in rate of decline in FEV1 for 27 recipients who completed at least 80% of the radiation fractions (pre-TLI 123 ml/month decline vs. 25 ml/month decline post-TLI), and major adverse effects included bone marrow suppression and infection.

In summary, data from various studies suggest that intensifying immunosuppression may slow the rate of FEV1 decline in BOS, but these data have not been generated by randomized prospective trials, and predictions of clinical response vs. failure cannot be made. Also the variable natural history of BOS may confound interpretation of the efficacy of any intervention that augments immunosuppression.

Azithromycin

Macrolides and the novel azalide, azithromycin, have been shown to suppress IL-8 production and neutrophil recruitment for a variety of disorders characterized by bronchial inflammation and progressive airway damage and destruction (256).

The effects of azithromycin on lung function decline in BOS have been examined by a number of centers, and beneficial effects have been reported for approximately 35-40% of treated recipients (36-38,257-263). Complete reversal of FEV1 decline may occur in some patients, and patients with BAL neutrophilia appear to represent a subset of patients that are particularly likely to respond to azithromycin therapy (36,37,261). Additionally, Benden et al. (264) reported a beneficial effect of clarithromycin, although Dhillon et al. (265) reported that survival and BOS-free survival for a cohort of patients who “routinely” received clarithromycin did not differ from that of control groups. A retrospective cohort study by Jain et al. (263) of 78 patients treated with azithromycin showed a survival advantage via univariate analysis that was most pronounced for recipients in whom treatment was initiated during Stage 1 BOS, and multivariate analysis showed an association of azithromycin therapy with a significant reduction in risk of death (adjusted hazard ratio of 0.23, p=0.01). Similarly, Vos et al. (36) retrospectively found an increase in FEV1 of $\geq 10\%$ after 3-6 months in 40% of patients with BOS treated with azithromycin, and this response correlated with BAL neutrophilia. Lastly, a single-center, randomized, prospective, double-blind study of azithromycin versus placebo initiated upon recovery from the lung

transplant procedure demonstrated a protective effect of azithromycin in preventing BOS over an observation period of 2 years, and a substantial number of patients who initially received placebo and developed BOS responded to salvage therapy with azithromycin (38).

Detection and treatment of GER

As discussed under risk factors above, abnormal GER is highly prevalent in patients with advanced lung disease and in lung transplant recipients (22,69-75), and it has been implicated as a risk factor for BOS (69,76-90). Many of these studies used only esophageal pH probes to detect reflux, which has somewhat limited sensitivity and specificity (266), but more recent studies also used impedance in addition to pH to detect weakly acid or non-acid reflux as well as acid reflux.

Proximal gastrointestinal tract motility studies and pH/impedance testing can be used to diagnose motility abnormalities and abnormal acid and/or non-acid GER (269), but a true gold standard for detecting abnormal GER combined with penetrance into the lung is lacking. Examination of BAL for markers of aspiration (e.g. oil red O staining and determination of a lipid index, BAL fluid pepsin, BAL fluid bile acids) has been reported as useful for the detection of microaspiration of refluxed gastroesophageal material (22,23,268). Given the absence of a “gold standard” for the detection of abnormal GER and microaspiration, additional studies correlating BAL markers of aspiration with GER and BOS are needed to facilitate selection of recipients with BOS who might benefit from interventions such as laparoscopic fundoplication.

Blondeau et al. (23) reported that treatment with proton-pump inhibitor agents did not affect non-acid reflux and gastric aspiration. Nissen fundoplication can be performed with reasonable safety on lung transplant candidates with advanced lung disease or lung transplant recipients with documented abnormal GER (74,79,81,269-278) and may prevent reflux and aspiration of gastric secretions (277). Davis et al. (79) reported that 16 of 26 patients diagnosed with BOS in whom abnormal GER was detected via esophageal pH probe underwent laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication and subsequently improved with 13 of 16 no longer meeting criteria for BOS. Additionally, Cantu et al. (81) published a retrospective analysis of 457 lung transplant recipients in which the incidence of abnormal GER was 76%; a small subgroup of 14 patients who underwent fundoplication within 90 days post-transplant had significantly improved freedom from BOS at 1 and 3 years post-transplant. Burton et al. (274) performed fundoplication on 21 recipients with clinically confirmed abnormal GER at a mean of 768 days post-transplant; GER symptoms significantly improved, but one peri-operative death occurred and progression to BOS Stage 1 was not altered, although a decreased likelihood to progress to Stage 2 or 3 was

suggested. Fisichella et al. (275) compared safety and efficacy of laparoscopic fundoplication for 29 consecutive LTX recipients and found no difference in outcomes when compared with 23 non-LTX patients and no mortality. This group also showed that anti-reflux surgery was associated with reduced BAL pepsin levels (277). Hoppo et al. (74) reported significant improvement in FEV1 in 20 of 22 LTX recipients following anti-reflux surgery, and surgery was well-tolerated in the entire cohort of 24 patients, and no operative mortality or significant morbidity occurred. Finally, Hartwig et al. (276) prospectively collected data on 297 LTX recipients and reported that LTX recipients with abnormal GER via pH testing attained lower peak allograft lung function at 1 year post-LTX, but early fundoplication appeared to preserve allograft function.

Unfortunately, no prospective, randomized controlled clinical trials of fundoplication for BOS have been reported to validate these results. Nonetheless, the committee felt that minimally invasive fundoplication performed by expert surgeons may stabilize a decline in lung function associated with the presence of GER.

Retransplantation

Lung retransplantation may be the only treatment option for BOS that is refractory to other forms of treatment. A number of single-center retrospective analyses and case series on retransplantation were identified (279-285). Actuarial 1- and 3-year survival rates have improved significantly from 47% and 33%, respectively, in the 1990s to 60% and 49% in the modern period (284,285).

Outcomes for retransplantation for BOS appear to be better than outcomes for PGD or airway complications. Outcomes following retransplantation for BOS in carefully selected patients (ambulatory patients evaluated via the same selection process used for first-time transplantation) are now expected to approach those of first-time lung transplants if performed by experienced centers (280,286). However, ethical questions regarding access to the scarce resource of donor lungs (taking utility and equity into consideration) must be considered carefully in each case.

ARE PREVENTIVE STRATEGIES EFFECTIVE?

Type of Immune Suppression

Most lung transplant recipients are maintained on triple immunotherapy in the form of a CNI (tacrolimus or CSA), an antimetabolite (mycophenolic acid or azathioprine) and corticosteroids (gradually tapered to low dose). The data for this is mostly derived from other forms of solid organ transplantation. However,

this approach is generally accepted for lung transplant recipients, as it is well recognized that the lungs are the most immunogenic of the solid organs and have a greater propensity to develop both acute and chronic allograft rejection. The best forms and combinations of maintenance therapy are unknown.

There has been one multicenter study of CSA compared to tacrolimus published to date (287). CSA or tacrolimus were administered in conjunction with induction therapy and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) in a 2-center, randomized, prospective, open-label trial. There was no apparent difference in survival seen between the two groups, but median follow-up was less than one and a half years. This relatively short duration precluded any analysis of BOS incidence; however there was a trend towards more episodes of acute rejection in the CSA group. A second single center prospective study comparing CSA to tacrolimus in patients maintained on azathioprine and corticosteroids has also been reported (288). Although this study showed fewer episodes of LB and AR, only a trend towards less BOS-0p was observed for group that received tacrolimus.

MMF has been compared to azathioprine in two prospective, randomized controlled studies (289,290). The first of these included only 6 months of follow-up and did not show any difference between the two groups in the primary endpoint of biopsy-proven acute allograft rejection (289). The second of these studies had a longer duration of follow-up. In addition to CSA and steroids, patients also received induction therapy (290). Although there was a trend towards improved survival at one year in the MMF group, this was lost at 3 years with no difference in the incidence of BOS at this later time point.

In one of the largest randomized, double-blind, multicenter trials to date in lung transplant recipients (n=213), azathioprine was compared to everolimus (291). BOS-free patients on maintenance immunosuppression were randomized to continue azathioprine or have azathioprine substituted by everolimus at 3 months. The study met the primary endpoint with fewer episodes of efficacy failure (significant decline in FEV1, allograft loss, death, or lost to followup) at 12 months (21.8% vs. 33.9%; $p = 0.046$) and better preservation of lung function in the everolimus group. However this apparent benefit was lost at 24 months, and the everolimus group had a higher incidence of treatment discontinuations and side-effects. Another large, multi-center, randomized trial (292) in which lung transplant recipients could receive everolimus (open-label) in addition to their CNI to reduce their CNI exposure versus continuing on their standard CNI regimen without everolimus, use of everolimus was associated with a protective effect on renal function, but an effect on BOS was not reported.

Although type of immune suppression (induction and/or chronic maintenance) may have an impact on the incidence and severity of BOS if manifestations of alloimmune rejection (AR, LB, AMR) are adequately suppressed, there are no adequately powered, prospective randomized clinical trials that have definitively identified a strategy (e.g. tacrolimus and mycophenolate) that is superior to another (e.g. CSA and azathioprine). However, preliminary results from a multi-center, randomized trial (EAILTx) of tacrolimus versus CSA (plus mycophenolate and prednisone in both arms) suggest that the relative risk of developing BOS is greater with CSA (2.00; 95% confidence interval 1.046-3.838, $p=0.036$) although survival did not differ between the two groups (293). Another recently published multi-center, randomized study (AIRSAC trial) evaluated sirolimus versus azathioprine added to tacrolimus-based immunosuppression, and no significant difference in the incidence of BOS was found for sirolimus versus azathioprine (294). Many other changes in donor organ preservation, surgical technique, and post-operative management are significant confounders that would need to be controlled for such studies to provide reliable results, and multi-center trials would be required to provide adequate numbers of randomized subjects.

Allograft surveillance and treatment of minimal acute rejection

Surveillance bronchoscopy has been performed by a majority of lung transplant centers to detect occult acute rejection and/or infection (176). However, there are no adequately powered, multi-center, randomized trials of scheduled, intermittent surveillance plus clinically mandated TBLB versus only clinically mandated TBLB to provide firm evidence of a strong risk-benefit ratio for either strategy. The decision to perform surveillance TBLB must balance the known risks (177) with the putative benefit of early and effective therapy for occult AR or LB (178,295) and infection (296). The case for surveillance biopsies hinges on the concept of the preservation of lung function and a reduction in morbid sequelae with the early detection and implementation of therapy for occult events that may increase the risk of developing BOS. The frequency and duration of bronchoscopic surveillance after lung transplantation has not been standardized or adequately addressed. If a surveillance program is implemented, it is likely to be most useful during the periods of highest risk for AR, which generally spans the first 6 to 12 months following transplantation. Single centers have provided longitudinal data of risks associated with the severity and frequency of AR (13) and LB (15) as determined by surveillance procedures. However, no study has definitively demonstrated that such an approach results in significant functional preservation or improvements in overall survival (297). Indeed there is evidence to suggest that acute vascular rejection is not an independent risk factor for BOS when detected and treated in a surveillance program (15). Data from centers that have either abandoned surveillance procedures (184) or perform them only for clinical trials (183,185) have been confounded by the use of historical controls or small mismatched cohorts. Furthermore, operator performance has not been analyzed critically as a determinant of risks associated

with these procedures. Nonetheless, it is acknowledged that high volume bronchoscopy centers report low rates of morbid sequelae (186) with some exceptions (187).

Other strategies

Infection prophylaxis has undoubtedly had a significant impact on outcomes, especially prophylaxis/suppression of CMV infection as discussed above. However, a clear cut impact in reducing BOS incidence and/or severity has not been demonstrated via robust randomized controlled trials. Epidemiological data strongly suggest that various infections – viral, bacterial, and fungal – likely play a role in predisposing recipients to subsequent development of BOS, but published evidence from clinical investigations that link infections to BOS onset remains weak.

As discussed above, abnormal GER and microaspiration have been strongly linked to allograft dysfunction, and these are present in a substantial number of patients with advanced lung disease and may worsen following their transplant. The identification of patients with significant GER appears to be important in management decisions and assessing risk for post-transplant allograft complications. However, a definitive marker of GER combined with microaspiration that identifies patients at significant risk for associated allograft injury and dysfunction and in whom clinical intervention such as fundoplication should be recommended remains elusive. Only retrospective studies have linked prophylactic fundoplication for recipients with GER to improved outcome and decreased incidence and/or severity of BOS.

The recently published prospective study of azithromycin when administered shortly after transplantation (38) suggests that the early administration of azithromycin as a chronic maintenance therapy can significantly decrease the risk of developing BOS. Induction of graft tolerance without significantly impairing immune defenses would be an ideal strategy for prevention of BOS, but the committee could not identify any clinical trials in humans of the induction of allograft tolerance and prevention of BOS. Although disruption of the bronchial circulation at the time of transplant has been proposed as a significant risk factor for the development of BOS (298), we could not identify any adequately powered, prospective randomized controlled trials that demonstrate any effect of bronchial arterial revascularization on BOS incidence.

Glossary of terms:

AMR – antibody-mediated rejection

OB – obliterative bronchiolitis

BOS – bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome
CARV – community-acquired respiratory virus
CF – cystic fibrosis
CMV – cytomegalovirus
CSA – cyclosporin A
CXR – routine postero-anterior and lateral chest x-ray
FEV1 – forced expiratory volume in one second
FEF25-75 – forced expiratory flow (average from 25-75% vital capacity)
VC – vital capacity
GER – gastroesophageal reflux
HLA – human leukocyte antigen
HRCT – high-resolution thoracic computed tomographic scan
ISHLT – International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation
CLAD – chronic lung allograft dysfunction
BAL – bronchoalveolar lavage
LB – lymphocytic bronchiolitis
TBLB – transbronchial lung biopsy
MHC – major histocompatibility complex
MRI – magnetic resonance imaging
RSV – respiratory syncytial virus
6-MWT – 6-minute walk test
RR – risk ratio
TLI – total lymphoid irradiation
ECPP – extra-corporeal photopheresis

REFERENCES

1. Lama VN, Murray S, Lonigro RJ, Toews GB, Chang A, Lau C, Flint A, Chan KM, Martinez FJ. Course of FEV(1) after onset of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2007;175:1192-1198.
2. Woodrow JP, Shlobin OA, Barnett SD, Burton N, Nathan SD. Comparison of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome to other forms of chronic lung allograft dysfunction after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2010;29:1159-1164.
3. Sato M, Waddell TK, Wagnetz U, Roberts HC, Hwang DM, Haroon A, Wagnetz D, Chaparro C, Singer LG, Hutcheon MA, et al. Restrictive allograft syndrome (RAS): a novel form of chronic lung allograft dysfunction. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2011;30:735-742.

4. Schulman LL, Weinberg AD, McGregor C, Galantowicz ME, Suciu-Foca NM, Itescu S. Mismatches at the HLA-dr and HLA-b loci are risk factors for acute rejection after lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:1833-1837.
5. Van den Berg JW, Hepkema BG, Geertsma A, Koëter GH, Postma DS, de Boer WJ, Lems SP, van der Bij W. Long-term outcome of lung transplantation is predicted by the number of HLA-dr mismatches. *Transplantation* 2001;71:368-373.
6. Weigt SS, Elashoff RM, Keane MP, Strieter RM, Gomperts BN, Xue YY, Ardehali A, Gregson AL, Kubak B, Fishbein MC, et al. Altered levels of CC chemokines during pulmonary CMV predict BOS and mortality post-lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2008;8:1512-1522.
7. Chmiel C, Speich R, Hofer M, Michel D, Mertens T, Weder W, Boehler A. Ganciclovir/valganciclovir prophylaxis decreases cytomegalovirus-related events and bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008;46:831-839.
8. Kumar D, Erdman D, Keshavjee S, Peret T, Tellier R, Hadjiliadis D, Johnson G, Ayers M, Siegal D, Humar A. Clinical impact of community-acquired respiratory viruses on bronchiolitis obliterans after lung transplant. *Am J Transplant* 2005;5:2031-2036.
9. Botha P, Archer L, Anderson RL, Lordan J, Dark JH, Corris PA, Gould K, Fisher AJ. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* colonization of the allograft after lung transplantation and the risk of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Transplantation* 2008;85:771-774.
10. Valentine VG, Gupta MR, Walker JE Jr, Seoane L, Bonvillain RW, Lombard GA, Weill D, Dhillon GS. Effect of etiology and timing of respiratory tract infections on development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009; 28:163-169.
11. Weigt SS, Elashoff RM, Huang C, Ardehali A, Gregson AL, Kubak B, Fishbein MC, Saggarr R, Keane MP, Saggarr R, et al. *Aspergillus* colonization of the lung allograft is a risk factor for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Am J Transplant* 2009;9:1903-1911.
12. Burton CM, Iversen M, Carlsen J, et al. Acute cellular rejection is a risk factor for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome independent of post-transplant baseline FEV1. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009;28:888-893.
13. Husain AN, Siddiqui MT, Holmes EW, Chandrasekhar AJ, McCabe M, Radvany R, Garrity ER. Analysis of risk factors for the development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1999 Mar;159(3):829-33.
14. Kroshus TJ, Kshetry VR, Savik K, John R, Hertz MI, Bolman RM 3rd. Risk factors for the development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1997;114:195-202.
15. Glanville AR, Aboyoun CL, Havryk A, Plit M, Rainer S, Malouf MA. Severity of lymphocytic bronchiolitis predicts long-term outcome after lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2008 May 1;177(9):1033-40.
16. Daud SA, Yusen RD, Meyers BF, Chakinala MM, Walter MJ, Aloush AA, Patterson GA, Trulock EP, Hachem RR. Impact of immediate primary lung allograft dysfunction on bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;175:507-513.
17. Fisher AJ, Wardle J, Dark JH, Corris PA. Non-immune acute graft injury after lung transplantation and the risk of subsequent bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS). *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2002;21:1206-1212.
18. Speich R, Schneider S, Hofer M, Irani S, Vogt P, Weder W, Boehler A. Mycophenolate mofetil reduces alveolar inflammation, acute rejection and graft loss due to bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2010;23:445-449.
19. Borro JM, Bravo C, Solé A, Usetti P, Zurbano F, Lama R, De la Torre M, Román A, Pastor A, Laporta R, et al. Conversion from cyclosporine to tacrolimus stabilizes the course of lung function in lung transplant recipients with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Transplant Proc* 2007;39:2416-2419.

20. Neurohr C, Huppmann P, Samweber B, Leuschner S, Zimmermann G, Leuchte H, Baumgartner R, Hatz R, Frey L, Ueberfuhr P, et al. Prognostic value of bronchoalveolar lavage neutrophilia in stable lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009;28:468-474.
21. Riise GC, Williams A, Kjellström C, Schersten H, Andersson BA, Kelly FJ. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients is associated with increased neutrophil activity and decreased antioxidant status in the lung. *Eur Respir J* 1998;12:82-88.
22. D'Ovidio F, Singer LG, Hadjiliadis D, Pierre A, Waddell TK, de Perrot M, Hutcheon M, Miller L, Darling G, Keshavjee S. Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux in end-stage lung disease candidates for lung transplant. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005;80:1254-1260.
23. Blondeau K, Mertens V, Vanaudenaerde BA, Verleden GM, Van Raemdonck DE, Sifrim D, Dupont LJ. Gastro-esophageal reflux and gastric aspiration in lung transplant patients with or without chronic rejection. *Eur Respir J* 2008;31:707-713.
24. Palmer SM, Burch LH, Trindade AJ, Davis RD, Herczyk WF, Reinsmoen NL, Schwartz DA. Innate immunity influences long-term outcomes after human lung transplant. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;171:780-785.
25. Burlingham WJ, Love RB, Jankowska-Gan E, Haynes LD, Xu Q, Bobadilla JL, Meyer KC, Hayney MS, Braun RK, Greenspan DS, et al. IL-17-dependent cellular immunity to collagen type V predisposes to obliterative bronchiolitis in human lung transplants. *J Clin Invest* 2007;117:3498-3506.
26. Bhorade SM, Chen H, Molinero L, Liao C, Garrity ER, Vigneswaran WT, Shilling R, Sperling A, Chong A, Alegre ML. Decreased percentage of CD4+FoxP3+ cells in bronchoalveolar lavage from lung transplant recipients correlates with development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Transplantation* 2010;90:540-546.
27. Meloni F, Vitulo P, Bianco AM, Paschetto E, Morosini M, Cascina A, Mazzucchelli I, Ciardelli L, Oggionni T, Fietta AM, et al. Regulatory CD4+CD25+ T cells in the peripheral blood of lung transplant recipients: correlation with transplant outcome. *Transplantation* 2004;77:762-766.
28. Heng D, Sharples LD, McNeil K, Stewart S, Wreghitt T, Wallwork J. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: incidence, natural history, prognosis, and risk factors. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1998;17:1255-1263.
29. Verleden G, Dupont L. Obliterative bronchiolitis. In: *Lung and heart-lung transplantation*, Lynch JP III, Ross DJ, editors. Taylor & Francis, New York, 2006, pp 723-751.
30. Burton CM, Carlsen J, Mortensen J, Andersen CB, Milman N, Iversen M. Long-term survival after lung transplantation depends on development and severity of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2007;26:681-686.
31. Trulock EP, Christie JD, Edwards LB, Boucek MM, Aurora P, Taylor DO, Dobbels F, Rahmel AO, Keck BM, Hertz MI. Registry of the international society for heart and lung transplantation: twenty-fourth official adult lung and heart-lung transplantation report-2007. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2007;26:782-795.
32. Christie JD, Edwards LB, Aurora P, Dobbels F, Kirk R, Rahmel AO, Stehlik J, Taylor DO, Kucheryavaya AY, Hertz MI. The Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: Twenty-sixth Official Adult Lung and Heart-Lung Transplantation Report-2009. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009; 28:1031-1049.
33. Jackson CH, Sharples LD, McNeil K, Stewart S, Wallwork J. Acute and chronic onset of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS): are they different entities? *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2002;21:658-666.
34. Brugière O, Pessione F, Thabut G, Mal H, Jebrak G, Lesèche G, Fournier M. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after single-lung transplantation: impact of time to onset on functional pattern and survival. *Chest*. 2002 Jun;121(6):1883-9.
35. Nathan SD, Ross DJ, Belman MJ, Shain S, Elashoff JD, Kass RM, Koerner SK. Bronchiolitis obliterans in single-lung transplant recipients. *Chest* 1995;107:967-972.

36. Vos R, Vanaudenaerde BM, Ottevaere A, Verleden SE, De Vleeschauwer SI, Willems-Widyastuti A, Wauters S, Van Raemdonck DE, Nawrot TS, Dupont LJ, et al. Long-term azithromycin therapy for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: divide and conquer? *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2010;29:1358-1368.
37. Gottlieb J, Szangolies J, Koehnlein T, Golpon H, Simon A, Welte T. Long-term azithromycin for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2008;85:36-41.
38. Vos R, Vanaudenaerde BM, Verleden SE, De Vleeschauwer SI, Willems-Widyastuti A, Van Raemdonck DE, Schoonis A, Nawrot TS, Dupont LJ, Verleden GM. A randomized placebo-controlled trial of azithromycin to prevent bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *Eur Respir J* 2011;37:164-172.
39. Christie JD, Carby M, Bag R, Corris P, Hertz M, Weill D; ISHLT Working Group on Primary Lung Graft Dysfunction. Report of the ISHLT working group on primary lung graft dysfunction part II: Definition. A consensus statement of the international society for heart and lung transplantation. *J Heart and Lung Transplant* 2005;24:1454-1459.
40. King RC, Binns OA, Rodriguez F, Kanithanon RC, Daniel TM, Spotnitz WD, Tribble CG, Kron IL. Reperfusion injury significantly impacts clinical outcome after pulmonary transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2000;69:1681-1685.
41. Arcasoy SM, Fisher A, Hachem RR, Scavuzzo M, Ware LB; ISHLT Working Group on Primary Lung Graft Dysfunction. Report of the ISHLT working group on primary lung graft dysfunction part v: predictors and outcomes. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2005;24:1483-1488.
42. Christie JD, Kotloff RM, Ahya VN, Tino G, Pochettino A, Gaughan C, DeMissie E, Kimmel SE. The effect of primary graft dysfunction on survival after lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;171(11):1312-1316.
43. Fiser SM, Tribble CG, Long SM, Kaza AK, Kern JA, Jones DR, Robbins MK, Kron IL. Ischemia-reperfusion injury after lung transplantation increases risk of late bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;73:1041-1047.
44. Girgis RE, Tu I, Berry GJ, Reichenspurner H, Valentine VG, Conte JV, Ting A, Johnstone I, Miller J, Robbins RC, et al. Risk factors for the development of obliterative bronchiolitis after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 1996;15:1200-1208.
45. Hachem RR, Khalifah AP, Chakinala MM, Yusen RD, Aloush AA, Mohanakumar T, Patterson GA, Trulock EP, Walter MJ. The significance of a single episode of minimal acute rejection after lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 2005;80:1406-1413.
46. Burton CM, Iversen M, Milman N, et al. Outcome of lung transplanted patients with primary graft dysfunction. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2007;31(1):75-82.
47. Bharat A, Narayanan K, Street T, Fields RC, Steward N, Aloush A, Meyers B, Schuessler R, Trulock EP, Patterson GA, et al. Early posttransplant inflammation promotes the development of alloimmunity and chronic human lung allograft rejection. *Transplantation* 2007;83:150-158.
48. Bharat A, Kuo E, Steward N, Aloush A, Hachem R, Trulock EP, Patterson GA, Meyers BF, Mohanakumar T. Immunological link between primary graft dysfunction and chronic lung allograft rejection. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;86:189-195.
49. Huang HJ, Yusen RD, Meyers BF, Walter MJ, Mohanakumar T, Patterson GA, Trulock EP, Hachem RR. Late primary graft dysfunction after lung transplantation and bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Am J Transplant* 2008;8(11):2454-2462.
50. Stewart S, Fishbein MC, Snell GI, Berry GJ, Boehler A, Burke MM, Glanville A, Gould FK, Magro C, Marboe CC, et al. Revision of the 1996 working formulation for the standardization of nomenclature in the diagnosis of lung rejection. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2007;26:1229-1242.
51. Burton CM, Iversen M, Scheike T, Carlsen J, Andersen CB. Is lymphocytic bronchiolitis a marker of acute rejection? An analysis of 2,697 transbronchial biopsies after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2008 Oct;27(10):1128-34.

52. Bando K, Paradis IL, Similo S, Konishi H, Komatsu K, Zullo TG, Yousem SA, Close JM, Zeevi A, Duquesnoy RJ, et al. Obliterative bronchiolitis after lung and heart-lung transplantation. An analysis of risk factors and management. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1995;110:4-13.
53. El Gamel A, Sim E, Hasleton P, Hutchinson J, Yonan N, Egan J, Campbell C, Rahman A, Sheldon S, Deiraniya A, et al. Transforming growth factor beta and obliterative bronchiolitis following pulmonary transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1999;18:828-837.
54. Keller CA, Cagle PT, Brown RW, Noon G, Frost AE. Bronchiolitis obliterans in recipients of single, double, and heart-lung transplantation. *Chest* 1995;107:973-980.
55. Sharples LD, McNeil K, Stewart S, Wallwork J. Risk factors for bronchiolitis obliterans: a systematic review of recent publications. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2002;21:271-281.
56. Hopkins PM, Aboyoum CL, Chhajed PN, Malouf MA, Plit ML, Rainer SP, Glanville AR. Association of minimal rejection in lung transplant recipients with obliterative bronchiolitis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:1022-1026.
57. Khalifah AP, Hachem RR, Chakinala MM, Yusen RD, Aloush A, Patterson GA, Mohanakumar T, Trulock EP, Walter MJ. Minimal acute rejection after lung transplantation: A risk factor for Bronchiolitis Obliterans Syndrome. *Am J Transplant* 2005; 5: 2022-2030.
58. Ross DJ, Marchevsky A, Kramer M, Kass RM. "Refractoriness" of airflow obstruction associated with isolated lymphocytic bronchiolitis/bronchitis in pulmonary allografts. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 1997 Aug;16(8):832-8.
59. Vos R, Vanaudenaerde BM, Verleden SE, De Vleeschauwer SI, Willems-Widyastuti A, Van Raemdonck DE, Dupont LJ, Nawrot TS, Verbeke EK, Verleden GM. Bronchoalveolar lavage neutrophilia in acute lung allograft rejection and lymphocytic bronchiolitis. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2010 Nov;29(11):1259-69.
60. Palmer SM, Davis RD, Hadjiliadis D, Hertz MI, Howell DN, Ward FE, Savik K, Reinsmoen NL. Development of an antibody specific to major histocompatibility antigens detectable by flow cytometry after lung transplant is associated with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Transplantation* 2002;74:799-804.
61. Lau CL, Palmer SM, Posther KE, Howell DN, Reinsmoen NL, Massey HT, Tapson VF, Jagers JJ, D'Amico TA, Davis RD Jr. Influence of panel-reactive antibodies on posttransplant outcomes in lung transplant recipients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2000;69:1520-1524.
62. Girnita AL, Duquesnoy R, Yousem SA, Iacono AT, Corcoran TE, Buzoianu M, Johnson B, Spichty KJ, Dauber JH, Burckart G, et al. HLA-specific antibodies are risk factors for lymphocytic bronchiolitis and chronic lung allograft dysfunction. *Am J Transplant* 2005;5:131-138.
63. Sundaresan S, Mohanakumar T, Smith MA, Trulock EP, Lynch J, Phelan D, Cooper JD, Patterson GA. HLA-A locus mismatches and development of antibodies to HLA after lung transplantation correlate with the development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Transplantation* 1998;65:648-653.
64. Jaramillo A, Smith MA, Phelan D, Sundaresan S, Trulock EP, Lynch JP, Cooper JD, Patterson GA, Mohanakumar T. Development of ELISA-detected anti-HLA antibodies precedes the development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and correlates with progressive decline in pulmonary function after lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 1999;67:1155-1161.
65. Gammie JS, Pham SM, Colson YL, Kawai A, Keenan RJ, Weyant RJ, Griffith BP. Influence of panel-reactive antibody on survival and rejection after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1997; 16(4): 408-415.
66. Takemoto SK, Zeevi A, Feng S, Colvin RB, Jordan S, Kobashigawa J, Kupiec-Weglinski J, Matas A, Montgomery RA, Nickerson P, et al. National conference to assess antibody-mediated rejection in solid organ transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2004;4:1033-1041.
67. Westall GP, Snell GI, McLean C, Kotsimbos T, Williams T, Magro C: C3d and C4d deposition early after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:722-728.
68. Wallace WD, Reed EF, Ross D, Lassman CR, Fishbein MC. C4d staining of pulmonary allograft biopsies: an immunoperoxidase study. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2005;24:1565-1570.

69. Button BM, Roberts S, Kotsimbos TC, Levvey BJ, Williams TJ, Bailey M, Snell GI, Wilson JW. Gastroesophageal reflux (symptomatic and silent): a potentially significant problem in patients with cystic fibrosis before and after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2005;24:1522-1529.
70. Sweet MP, Herbella FA, Leard L, Hoopes C, Golden J, Hays S, Patti MG. The prevalence of distal and proximal gastroesophageal reflux in patients awaiting lung transplantation. *Ann Surg*. 2006 Oct;244(4):491-497.
71. Sweet MP, Patti MG, Leard LE, Golden JA, Hays SR, Hoopes C, Theodore PR. Gastroesophageal reflux in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis referred for lung transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2007 Apr;133(4):1078-1084.
72. Fortunato GA, Machado MM, Andrade CF, Felicetti JC, Camargo Jde J, Cardoso PF. Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux in lung transplant candidates with advanced lung disease. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2008;34(10):772-778.
73. Basseri B, Conklin JL, Pimentel M, Tabrizi R, Phillips EH, Simsir SA, Chaux GE, Falk JA, Ghandehari S, Soukiasian HJ. Esophageal motor dysfunction and gastroesophageal reflux are prevalent in lung transplant candidates. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;90:1630-1636.
74. Hoppo T, Jarido V, Pennathur A, Morrell M, Crespo M, Shigemura N, Bermudez C, Hunter JG, Toyoda Y, Pilewski J, et al. Antireflux surgery preserves lung function in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease and end-stage lung disease before and after lung transplantation. *Arch Surg*. 2011;146(9):1041-1047.
75. Murthy SC, Nowicki ER, Mason DP, Budev MM, Nunez AI, Thuita L, Chapman JT, McCurry KR, Pettersson GB, Blackstone EH. Pretransplant gastroesophageal reflux compromises early outcomes after lung transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;142:47-52.
76. Reid KR, McKenzie FN, Menkis AH, Novick RJ, Pflugfelder PW, Kostuk WJ, Ahmad D. Importance of chronic aspiration in recipients of heart-lung transplants. *Lancet* 1990;336:206-208.
77. Au J, Hawkins T, Venables C, Morrill G, Scott CD, Gascoigne AD, Corris PA, Hilton CJ, Dark JH. Upper gastrointestinal dysmotility in heart-lung transplant recipients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1993;55:94-97.
78. Palmer SM, Miralles AP, Howell DN, Brazer SR, Tapson VF, Davis RD. Gastroesophageal reflux as a reversible cause of allograft dysfunction after lung transplantation. *Chest* 2000;118:1214-1217.
79. Davis RD Jr, Lau CL, Eubanks S, Messier RH, Hadjiliadis D, Steele MP, Palmer SM. Improved lung allograft function after fundoplication in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease undergoing lung transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;125:533-542.
80. Hadjiliadis D, Duane Davis R, Steele MP, Messier RH, Lau CL, Eubanks SS, Palmer SM. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in lung transplant recipients. *Clin Transplant* 2003;17:363-368.
81. Cantu E 3rd, Appel JZ 3rd, Hartwig MG, Woreta H, Green C, Messier R, Palmer SM, Davis RD Jr. J. Maxwell Chamberlain Memorial Paper. Early fundoplication prevents chronic allograft dysfunction in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;78:1142-1151.
82. Benden C, Aurora P, Curry J, Whitmore P, Priestley L, Elliott MJ. High prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux in children after lung transplantation. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2005; 40:68-71.
83. D'Ovidio F, Mura M, Tsang M, Waddell TK, Hutcheon MA, Singer LG, Hadjiliadis D, Chaparro C, Gutierrez C, Pierre A, et al. Bile acid aspiration and the development of bronchiolitis obliterans after lung transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;129:1144-1152.
84. Blondeau K, Mertens V, Vanaudenaerde BA, Verleden GM, Van Raemdonck DE, Sifrim D, Dupont LJ. Gastro-oesophageal reflux and gastric aspiration in lung transplant patients with or without chronic rejection. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 31: 707-713.

85. Halsey KD, Wald A, Meyer KC, Torrealba JR, Gaumnitz EA. Non-acidic supraesophageal reflux associated with diffuse alveolar damage and allograft dysfunction after lung transplantation: a case report. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:564-567.
86. Robertson AG, Ward C, Pearson JP, Small T, Lordan J, Fisher AJ, Bredenoord AJ, Dark J, Griffin SM, Corris PA. Longitudinal changes in gastroesophageal reflux from 3 months to 6 months after lung transplantation. *Thorax* 2009;64:1005-1007.
87. King BJ, Iyer H, Leidi AA, Carby MR. Gastroesophageal reflux in bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: a new perspective. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009;28:870-875.
88. Blondeau K, Mertens V, Vanaudenaerde BA, Verleden GM, Van Raemdonck DE, Sifrim D, Dupont LJ. Nocturnal weakly acidic reflux promotes aspiration of bile acids in lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009;28:141-148.
89. Davis CS, Shankaran V, Kovacs EJ, Gagermeier J, Dilling D, Alex CG, Love RB, Sinacore J, Fisichella PM. Gastroesophageal reflux disease after lung transplantation: Pathophysiology and implications for treatment. *Surgery* 2010;148:737-745.
90. Young LR, Hadjiliadis D, Davis RD, Palmer SM. Lung transplantation exacerbates gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Chest* 2003;124 :1689-1693.
91. Bobadilla JL, Jankowska-Gan E, Xu Q, Haynes LD, Munoz del Rio A, Meyer K, Greenspan DS, De Oliveira N, Burlingham WJ, Maloney JD. Reflux-induced collagen type v sensitization: potential mediator of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Chest* 2010;138:363-370.
92. D'Ovidio F, Mura M, Ridsdale R, Takahashi H, Waddell TK, Hutcheon M, Hadjiliadis D, Singer LG, Pierre A, Chaparro C, et al. The effect of reflux and bile acid aspiration on the lung allograft and its surfactant and innate immunity molecules SP-A and SP-D. *Am J Transplant* 2006;6:1930-1938.
93. Mertens V, Blondeau K, Van Oudenhove L, Vanaudenaerde B, Vos R, Farre R, Pauwels A, Verleden G, Van Raemdonck D, Sifrim D, et al. Bile acids aspiration reduces survival in lung transplant recipients with BOS despite azithromycin. *Am J Transplant* 2011;11:329-335.
94. Meltzer AJ, Weiss MJ, Veillette GR, Sahara H, Ng CY, Cochrane ME, Houser SL, Sachs DH, Rosengard BR, Madsen JC, et al. Repetitive gastric aspiration leads to augmented indirect allorecognition after lung transplantation in miniature swine. *Transplantation* 2008;86:1824-1829.
95. Li B, Hartwig MG, Appel JZ, Bush EL, Balsara KR, Holzknecht ZE, Collins BH, Howell DN, Parker W, Lin SS, et al. Chronic aspiration of gastric fluid induces the development of obliterative bronchiolitis in rat lung transplants. *Am J Transplant*. 2008;8:1614-1621.
96. Fishman JA, Rubin RH. Infection in organ transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:1741-1751.
97. Reichenspurner H, Girgis RE, Robbins RC, Yun KL, Nitschke M, Berry GJ, Morris RE, Theodore J, Reitz BA. Stanford experience with obliterative bronchiolitis after lung and heart-lung transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1996;62:1467-1472.
98. Keenan RJ, Lega ME, Dummer JS, Paradis IL, Dauber JH, Rabinowich H, Yousem SA, Hardesty RL, Griffith BP, Duquesnoy RJ, et al. Cytomegalovirus serologic status and postoperative infection correlated with risk of developing chronic rejection after pulmonary transplantation. *Transplantation* 1991;51:433-438.
99. Smith MA, Sundaresan S, Mohanakumar T, Trulock EP, Lynch JP, Phelan DL, Cooper JD, Patterson GA. Effect of development of antibodies to HLA and cytomegalovirus mismatch on lung transplantation survival and development of bronchiolitis syndrome. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1998;116:812-820.
100. Staras SA, Dollard SC, Radford KW, Flanders WD, Pass RF, Cannon MJ. Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus infection in the United States, 1988-1994. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;43:1143-1151.
101. Gillespie GM, Wills MR, Appay V, O'Callaghan C, Murphy M, Smith N, Sissons P, Rowland-Jones S, Bell JI, Moss PA. Functional heterogeneity and high frequencies of cytomegalovirus-specific CD8(+) T lymphocytes in healthy seropositive donors. *J Virol* 2000;74:8140-8150.

102. Gandhi MK, Khanna R. Human cytomegalovirus: clinical aspects, immune regulation, and emerging treatments. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2004;4:725-738.
103. Ibrahim L, Dominguez M, Yacoub M. Primary human adult lung epithelial cells in vitro: response to interferon-gamma and cytomegalovirus. *Immunology* 1993;79:119-124.
104. Geist LJ, Dai LY. Cytomegalovirus modulates interleukin-6 gene expression. *Transplantation* 1996;62:653-658.
105. Taylor DO, Edwards LB, Boucek MM, Trulock EP, Aurora P, Christie J, Dobbels F, Rahmel AO, Keck BM, Hertz MI. Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: twenty-fourth official adult heart transplant report—2007. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2007;26:769-781.
106. Weill D, Lock BJ, Wewers DL, Young KR, Zorn GL, Early L, Kirklin JK, McGiffin DC. Combination prophylaxis with ganciclovir and cytomegalovirus (CMV) immune globulin after lung transplantation: effective CMV prevention following daclizumab induction. *Am J Transplant* 2003;3:492-496.
107. Palmer SM, Grinnan DC, Diane Reams B, Steele MP, Messier RH, Duane Davis R. Delay of CMV infection in high-risk CMV mismatch lung transplant recipients due to prophylaxis with oral ganciclovir. *Clin Transplant* 2004;18:179-185.
108. Zamora MR, Nicolls MR, Hodges TN, Marquesen J, Astor T, Grazia T, Weill D. Following universal prophylaxis with intravenous ganciclovir and cytomegalovirus immune globulin, valganciclovir is safe and effective for prevention of CMV infection following lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2004;4:1635-1642.
109. Humar A, Kumar D, Preiksaitis J, Boivin G, Siegal D, Fenton J, Jackson K, Nia S, Lien D. A trial valganciclovir prophylaxis for cytomegalovirus prevention in lung transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2005;5:1462-1468.
110. Valentine VG, Weill D, Gupta MR, Raper B, Laplace SG, Lombard GA, Bonvillain RW, Taylor DE, Dhillon GS. Ganciclovir for cytomegalovirus: a call for indefinite prophylaxis in lung transplantation. *H Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:875-881.
111. Ruttman E, Geltner C, Bucher B, Ulmer H, Höfer D, Hangler HB, Semsroth S, Margreiter R, Laufer G, Müller LC. Combined CMV prophylaxis improves outcome and reduces the risk for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS) after lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 2006;27:1415-1420.
112. Snyder LD, Finlen-Copeland A, Turbyfill WJ, Howell D, Willner DA, Palmer SM. Cytomegalovirus pneumonitis is a risk for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;181:1391-1396.
113. Palmer SM, Limaye AP, Banks M, Gallup D, Chapman J, Lawrence EC, Dunitz J, Milstone A, Reynolds J, Yung GL, et al. Extended valganciclovir prophylaxis to prevent cytomegalovirus after lung transplantation: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2010;152:761-769.
114. Engelmann I, Welte T, Fühner T, Simon AR, Mattner F, Hoy L, Schulz TF, Gottlieb J. Detection of Epstein-Barr virus DNA in peripheral blood is associated with the development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *J Clin Virol* 2009;45:47-53.
115. Ross DJ, Chan RC, Kubak B, Laks H, Nichols WS. Bronchiolitis obliterans with organizing pneumonia: possible association with human herpesvirus-7 infection after lung transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2001;33:2603-2606.
116. Neurohr C, Huppmann P, Leuchte H, Schwaiblmair M, Bittmann I, Jaeger G, Hatz R, Frey L, Uberfuhr P, Reichart B, et al. Human herpesvirus 6 in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid after lung transplantation: a risk factor for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome? *Am J Transplant* 2005;5:2982-2991.
117. Manuel O, Kumar D, Moussa G, Chen MH, Pilewski J, McCurry KR, Studer SM, Crespo M, Husain S, Humar A. Lack of association between beta-herpesvirus infection and bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients in the era of antiviral prophylaxis. *Transplantation* 2009;87:719-725.

118. Garbino J, Gerbase MW, Wunderli W, Deffernez C, Thomas Y, Rochat T, Ninet B, Schrenzel J, Yerly S, Perrin L, et al. Lower respiratory viral illnesses: improved diagnosis by molecular methods and clinical impact. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:1197-1203.
119. Milstone AP, Brumble LM, Barnes J, Estes W, Loyd JE, Pierson RN 3rd, Dummer S. A single-season prospective study of respiratory viral infections in lung transplant recipients. *Eur Respir J* 2006;28:131-137.
120. Bridges ND, Spray TL, Collins MH, Bowles NE, Towbin JA. Adenovirus infection in the lung results in graft failure after lung transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1998;116:617-623.
121. Khalifah AP, Hachem RR, Chakinala MM, Schechtman KB, Patterson GA, Schuster DP, Mohanakumar T, Trulock EP, Walter MJ. Respiratory viral infections are a distinct risk for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and death. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;170:181-187.
122. Billings JL, Hertz MI, Savik K, Wendt CH. Respiratory viruses and chronic rejection in lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2002;21:559-566.
123. Vilchez RA, Dauber J, McCurry K, Iacono A, Kusne S. Parainfluenza virus infection in adult lung transplant recipients: an emergent clinical syndrome with implications on allograft function. *Am J Transplant* 2003;3:116-120.
124. Palmer SM Jr, Henshaw NG, Howell DN, Miller SE, Davis RD, Tapson VF. Community respiratory viral infection in adult lung transplant recipients. *Chest* 1998;113:944-50.
125. Gottlieb J, Schulz TF, Welte T, Fuehner T, Dierich M, Simon AR, Engelmann I. Community-acquired respiratory viral infections in lung transplant recipients: a single season cohort study. *Transplantation* 2009;87:1530-1537.
126. Kumar D, Husain S, Chen MH, Moussa G, Himsworth D, Manuel O, Studer S, Pakstis D, McCurry K, Doucette K, et al. A prospective molecular surveillance study evaluating the clinical impact of community-acquired respiratory viruses in lung transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2010;89:1028-1033.
127. Liu M, Worley S, Arrigain S, Aurora P, Ballmann M, Boyer D, Conrad C, Eichler I, Elidemir O, Goldfarb S, et al. Respiratory viral infections within one year after pediatric transplant. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2009;11:304-312.
128. Liu M, Mallory GB, Schechter MG, Worley S, Arrigain S, Robertson J, Elidemir O, Danziger-Isakov LA. Long-term impact of respiratory viral infection after pediatric lung transplantation. *Pediatr Transplant* 2010;14:431-436.
129. Vos R, Vanaudenaerde BM, Dupont LJ, Van Raemdonck DE, Verleden GM. Transient airway colonization is associated with airway inflammation after lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2007;7:1278-1287.
130. Vos R, Vanaudenaerde BM, De Vleeschauwer SI, Van Raemdonck DE, Dupont LJ, Verleden GM. De novo or persistent pseudomonas airway colonization after lung transplantation: importance for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome? *Transplantation* 2008;86:624-625.
131. Gottlieb J, Mattner F, Weissbrodt H, Dierich M, Fuehner T, Strueber M, Simon A, Welte T. Impact of graft colonization with gram-negative bacteria after lung transplantation on the development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in recipients with cystic fibrosis. *Respir Med* 2009;103:743-749.
132. Vos R, Blondeau K, Vanaudenaerde BM, Mertens V, Van Raemdonck DE, Sifrim D, Dupont LJ, Verleden GM. Airway colonization and gastric aspiration after lung transplantation: do birds of a feather block together? *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:843-849.
133. Pappas PG, Alexander BD, Andes DR, Hadley S, Kauffman CA, Freifeld A, Anaissie EJ, Brumble LM, Herwaldt L, Ito J, et al. Invasive fungal infections among organ transplant recipients: results of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET). *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50:1101-1111.
134. Kontoyiannis DP, Marr KA, Park BJ, Alexander BD, Anaissie EJ, Walsh TJ, Ito J, Andes DR, Baddley JW, Brown JM, et al. Prospective surveillance for invasive fungal infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients, 2001-2006: overview of the Transplant-

Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET) Database. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50:1091-1100.

135. Neofytos D, Fishman JA, Horn D, Anaissie E, Chang CH, Olyaei A, Pfaller M, Steinbach WJ, Webster KM, Marr KA. Epidemiology and outcome of invasive fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2010;12:220-229.
136. Nath DS, Basha HI, Mohanakumar T. Antihuman leukocyte antigen antibody-induced autoimmunity: role in chronic rejection. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2010;15:16-20.
137. Fukami N, Ramachandran S, Saini D, Walter M, Chapman W, Patterson GA, Mohanakumar T. Antibodies to MHC Class I induce Autoimmunity: Role in the pathogenesis of chronic rejection. *J Immunol* 2009;182:309-318.
138. Hagedorn PH, Burton CM, Carlsen J, Steinbrüchel D, Andersen CB, Sahar E, Domany E, Cohen IR, Flyvbjerg H, Iversen M. Chronic rejection of a lung transplant is characterized by a profile of specific autoantibodies. *Immunology* 2010;130:427-435.
139. Haque MA, Mizobuchi T, Yasufuku K, Fujisawa T, Brutkiewicz RR, Zheng Y, Woods K, Smith GN, Cummings OW, Heidler KM, et al. Evidence for immune responses to a self-antigen in lung transplantation: role of type V collagen-specific T cells in the pathogenesis of lung allograft rejection. *J Immunol* 2002;169:1542-1549.
140. Mizobuchi T, Yasufuku K, Zheng Y, Haque MA, Heidler KM, Woods K, Smith GN Jr, Cummings OW, Fujisawa T, Blum JS, et al. Differential expression of Smad7 transcripts identifies the CD4+CD45RChigh regulatory T cells that mediate type V collagen-induced tolerance to lung allografts. *J Immunol* 2003;171:1140-1147.
141. Braun RK, Molitor-Dart M, Wigfield C, Xiang Z, Fain SB, Jankowska-Gan E, Seroogy CM, Burlingham WJ, Wilkes DS, Brand DD, et al. Transfer of tolerance to collagen type V suppresses T-helper-cell-17 lymphocyte-mediated acute lung transplant rejection. *Transplantation* 2009;88:1341-1348.
142. Yasufuku K, Heidler KM, O'Donnell PW, Smith GN Jr, Cummings OW, Foresman BH, Fujisawa T, Wilkes DS. Oral tolerance induction by type V collagen downregulates lung allograft rejection. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2001;25:26-34.
143. Yasufuku K, Heidler KM, Woods KA, Smith GN Jr, Cummings OW, Fujisawa T, Wilkes DS. Prevention of bronchiolitis obliterans in rat lung allografts by type V collagen-induced oral tolerance. *Transplantation* 2002;73:500-505.
144. Yamada Y, Sekine Y, Yoshida S, Yasufuku K, Petrache I, Benson HL, Brand DD, Yoshino I, Wilkes DS. Type V collagen-induced oral tolerance plus low-dose cyclosporine prevents rejection of MHC class I and II incompatible lung allografts. *J Immunol* 2009;183:237-245.
145. Yoshida S, Haque A, Mizobuchi T, Iwata T, Chiyo M, Webb TJ, Baldridge LA, Heidler KM, Cummings OW, Fujisawa T, et al. Anti-type V collagen lymphocytes that express IL-17 and IL-23 induce rejection pathology in fresh and well-healed lung transplants. *Am J Transplant* 2006;6:724-35.
146. Bharat A, Fields RC, Steward N, Trulock EP, Patterson GA, Mohanakumar T. CD4+25+ regulatory T cells limit Th1-autoimmunity by inducing IL-10 producing T cells following human lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2006;6:1799-1808.
147. Bharat A, Fields RC, Trulock EP, Patterson GA, Mohanakumar T. Induction of IL-10 suppressors in lung transplant patients by CD4+25+ regulatory T cells through CTLA-4 signaling. *J Immunol* 2006;177:5631-5638.
148. Bobadilla JL, Love RB, Jankowska-Gan E, Xu Q, Haynes LD, Braun RK, Hayney MS, Munoz del Rio A, Meyer K, Greenspan DS, et al. Th-17, monokines, collagen type V, and primary graft dysfunction in lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;177:660-668.
149. Iwata T, Chiyo M, Yoshida S, Smith GN Jr, Mickler EA, Presson R Jr, Fisher AJ, Brand DD, Cummings OW, Wilkes DS. Lung transplant ischemia reperfusion injury: metalloprotease inhibition down-regulates exposure of type V collagen, growth-related oncogene-induced

- neutrophil chemotaxis, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha expression. *Transplantation* 2008;85:417-426.
150. Iwata T, Philipovskiy A, Fisher AJ, Presson RG Jr, Chiyo M, Lee J, Mickler E, Smith GN, Petrache I, Brand DB, et al. Anti-type V collagen humoral immunity in lung transplant primary graft dysfunction. *J Immunol* 2008;181:5738-5747.
 151. Saini D, Weber J, Ramachandran S, Phelan D, Tiriveedhi V, Liu M, Steward N, Aloush A, Hachem R, Trulock E, et al. Alloimmunity-induced autoimmunity as a potential mechanism in the pathogenesis of chronic rejection of human lung allografts. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2011;30:624-631.
 152. Henke JA, Golden JA, Henke JA, Golden JA, Yelin EH. Persistent increase of BAL neutrophils as a predictor of mortality following lung transplant. *Chest* 1999;115:403-409.
 153. Meyer KC, Nunley DR, Dauber JH, Iacono AT, Keenan RJ, Cornwell RD, Love RB. Neutrophils, unopposed neutrophil elastase, and alpha1-antiprotease defenses following human lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;164:97-102.
 154. Reynaud-Gaubert M, Thomas P, Badier M, Cau P, Giudicelli R, Fuentes P. Early detection of airway involvement in obliterative bronchiolitis after lung transplantation. Functional and bronchoalveolar lavage cell findings. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;161:1924-1929.
 155. DiGiovine B, Lynch 3rd JP, Martinez FJ, Flint A, Whyte RI, Iannettoni MD, Arenberg DA, Burdick MD, Glass MC, Wilke CA, et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage neutrophilia is associated with obliterative bronchiolitis after lung transplantation: role of IL-8. *J Immunol* 1996;157:4194-4202.
 156. Zheng L, Whitford HM, Orsida B, Levvey BJ, Bailey M, Walters EH, Williams TJ, Kotsimbos T, Snell GI. The dynamics and associations of airway neutrophilia post lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2006;6:599-608.
 157. Schloma J, Slebos DJ, Boezen HM, et al. Eosinophilic granulocytes and interleukin-6 level in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid are associated with the development of obliterative bronchiolitis after lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:2221-2225.
 158. Finkelstein SM, Snyder M, Stibbe CE, Lindgren B, Sabati N, Killoren T, Hertz MI. Staging of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome using home spirometry. *Chest* 1999;116:120-126.
 159. Nathan SD, Barnett SD, Wohlrab J, Burton N. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: utility of the new guidelines in single lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2003;22:427-432.
 160. Hachem RR, Chakinala MM, Yusef RD, Lynch JP, Aloush AA, Patterson GA, Trulock EP. The predictive value of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome stage 0-p. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;169:468-72.
 161. Lama VN, Murray S, Mumford JA, Flaherty KR, Chang A, Toews GB, Peters-Golden M, Martinez FJ. Prognostic value of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome stage 0-p in single-lung transplant recipients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;172:379-83.
 162. ATS Committee on Proficiency Standards for Clinical Function Laboratories. ATS statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;166:111-117.
 163. Lama VN, Flaherty KR, Toews GB, Colby TV, Travis WD, Long Q, Murray S, Kazerooni EA, Gross BH, Lynch JP 3rd, et al. Prognostic value of desaturation during a 6-minute walk test in idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;168:1084-1090.
 164. Nathan SD, Shlobin OA, Reese E, Ahmad S, Fregoso M, Athale C, Barnett SD. Prognostic value of the 6 min walk test in bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Respir Med*. 2009;103:1816-1821.
 165. Morrish WF, Herman SJ, Weisbrod GL, Chamberlain DW. Bronchiolitis obliterans after lung transplantation: findings at chest radiography and high resolution CT. The Toronto Lung Transplant Group. *Radiology* 1991;179:487-490.
 166. Kundu S, Herman SJ, Larhs A, Rappaport DC, Weisbrod GL, Maurer J, Chamberlain D, Winton T. Correlation of chest radiographic findings with biopsy-proven acute lung rejection. *J Thorac Imaging* 1999;14:178-84.

167. Gotway MB, Dawn SK, Sellami D, Golden JA, Reddy GP, Keith FM, Webb WR. Acute rejection following lung transplantation: limitations in accuracy of thin-section CT for diagnosis. *Radiology* 2001;221:207-212.
168. Collins J. Imaging of the chest after lung transplantation. *J Thorac Imaging* 2002;17:102-112.
169. Leung AN, Fisher K, Valentine V, Girgis RE, Berry GJ, Robbins RC, Theodore J. Bronchiolitis obliterans after lung transplantation: detection using expiratory HRCT. *Chest* 1998;113:365-370.
170. Bankier AA, Van Muylem A, Knoop C, Estenne M, Gevenois PA. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in heart-lung transplant recipients: diagnosis with expiratory CT. *Radiology* 2001;218:533-539.
171. Lee ES, Gotway MB, Reddy GP, Golden JA, Keith FM, Webb WR. Early bronchiolitis obliterans following lung transplantation: accuracy of expiratory thin-section CT for diagnosis. *Radiology* 2000;216:472-477.
172. Berstad AE, Aaløkken TM, Kolbenstvedt A, Bjørtuft O. Performance of long-term CT monitoring in diagnosing bronchiolitis obliterans after lung transplantation. *Eur J Radiol* 2006;58:124-131.
173. Konen E, Gutierrez C, Chaparro C, Murray CP, Chung T, Crossin J, Hutcheon MA, Paul NS, Weisbrod GL. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients: can thin-section CT findings predict disease before its clinical appearance? *Radiology* 2004;231:467-473.
174. Gast KK, Biedermann A, Herweling A, Schreiber WG, Schmiedeskamp J, Mayer E, Heussel CP, Markstaller K, Kauczor HU, Eberle B. Oxygen-sensitive ³He-MRI in bronchiolitis obliterans after lung transplantation. *Eur Radiol* 2008;18:530-537.
175. Gast KK, Zaporozhan J, Ley S, Biedermann A, Knitz F, Eberle B, Schmiedeskamp J, Heussel CP, Mayer E, Schreiber WG, et al. (³He)-MRI in follow-up of lung transplant recipients. *Eur Radiol* 2004;14:78-85.
176. McAdams HP, Palmer SM, Donnelly LF, Charles HC, Tapson VF, MacFall JR. Hyperpolarized ³He-enhanced MR imaging of lung transplant recipients: preliminary results. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1999;173:955-959.
177. Glanville AR. The role of bronchoscopic surveillance monitoring in the care of lung transplant recipients. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;27:480-491.
178. Burton CM, Iversen M, Carlsen J, Andersen CB. Interstitial inflammatory lesions of the pulmonary allograft: a retrospective analysis of 2697 transbronchial biopsies. *Transplantation* 2008;86:811-819.
179. Meyer K. Bronchoalveolar lavage as a diagnostic tool. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;28:548-560.
180. Tiroke AH, Bewig B, Haverich A. Bronchoalveolar lavage in lung transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 1999;13:131-157.
181. Kukafka DS, O'Brien GM, Furukawa S, Criner GJ. Surveillance bronchoscopy in lung transplant recipients. *Chest* 1997;111:377-381.
182. Levine SM, Transplant/Immunology Network of the American College of Chest Physicians. A survey of clinical practice of lung transplantation in North America. *Chest* 2004;125:1224-1238.
183. Valentine VG, Taylor DE, Dhillon GS, Knower MT, McFadden PM, Fuchs DM, Kantrow SP. Success of lung transplantation without surveillance bronchoscopy. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2002;21:319-326.
184. Tamm M, Sharples LD, Higenbottam TW, Stewart S, Wallwork J. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in heart-lung transplantation: surveillance biopsies. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;155:1705-1710.
185. Valentine VG, Gupta MR, Weill D, Lombard GA, LaPlace SG, Seoane L, Taylor DE, Dhillon GS. Single-institution study evaluating the utility of surveillance bronchoscopy after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009;28:14-20.

186. Hopkins PM, Aboyoun CL, Chhajed PN, Malouf MA, Plit ML, Rainer SP, Glanville AR. Prospective analysis of 1,235 transbronchial lung biopsies in lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2002;21:1062-1067.
187. McWilliams TJ, Williams TJ, Whitford HM, Snell GI. Surveillance bronchoscopy in lung transplant recipients: risk versus benefit. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:1203-1209.
188. Dransfield MT, Garver RI, Weill D. Standardized guidelines for surveillance bronchoscopy reduce complications in lung transplant recipients *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2004;23:110-114.
189. Salama M, Jaksch P, Andrukhova O, Taghavi S, Klepetko W, Aharinejad S. Endothelin-1 is a useful biomarker for early detection of bronchiolitis obliterans in lung transplant recipients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;140:1422-1427.
190. Haberman B, Doan ML, Smith EO, Schechter MG, Mallory GB, Elidemir O. Serum KL-6 level and the development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients. *Pediatr Transplant* 2010;14:903-908.
191. Kastelijns EA, Rijkers GT, Van Moorsel CH, Zanen P, Kwakkel-van Erp JM, Van De Graaf EA, Van Kessel DA, Grutters JC, Van Den Bosch JM. Systemic and exhaled cytokine and chemokine profiles are associated with the development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2010;29:997-1008.
192. Paantjens AW, Kwakkel-van Erp JM, van Ginkel WG, van Kessel DA, van den Bosch JM, van de Graaf EA, Otten HG. Serum thymus and activation regulated chemokine levels post-lung transplantation as a predictor for the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2008;154:202-208.
193. Meloni F, Giuliano S, Solari N, Draghi P, Miserere S, Bardoni AM, Salvini R, Bini F, Fietta AM. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in lung allograft tolerance. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2009;28:1185-1192.
194. Vos R, Vanaudenaerde BM, De Vleeschauwer SI, Willems-Widyastuti A, Dupont LJ, Van Raemdonck DE, Verleden GM. C-reactive protein in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid is associated with markers of airway inflammation after lung transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2009;41:3409-3413.
195. Studer SM, George MP, Zhu X, Song Y, Valentine VG, Stoner MW, Sethi J, Steele C, Duncan SR. CD28 down-regulation on CD4 T cells is a marker for graft dysfunction in lung transplant recipients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;178:765-773.
196. Fildes JE, Yonan N, Tunstall K, Walker AH, Griffiths-Davies L, Bishop P, Leonard CT. Natural killer cells in peripheral blood and lung tissue are associated with chronic rejection after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:203-207.
197. Golocheikine AS, Saini D, Ramachandran S, Trulock EP, Patterson A, Mohanakumar T. Soluble CD30 levels as a diagnostic marker for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome following human lung transplantation. *Transpl Immunol* 2008;18:260-263.
198. Meloni F, Salvini R, Bardoni AM, Passadore I, Solari N, Vitulo P, Oggionni T, Viganò M, Pozzi E, Fietta AM. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid proteome in bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: possible role for surfactant protein A in disease onset. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2007;26:1135-1143.
199. Smith GN Jr, Mickler EA, Payne KK, Lee J, Duncan M, Reynolds J, Foresman B, Wilkes DS. Lung transplant metalloproteinase levels are elevated prior to bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Am J Transplant* 2007;7:1856-1861.
200. Van Muylem A, Paiva M, Estenne M. Involvement of peripheral airways during methacholine-induced bronchoconstriction after lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;164:1200-1203.
201. Fields RC, Bharat A, Steward N, Aloush A, Meyers BF, Trulock EP, Chapman WC, Patterson GA, Mohanakumar T. Elevated soluble CD30 correlates with development of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome following lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 2006;82:1596-1601.

202. Walter JN, Fan LL, Bag R, Zhang H, Doan M, Mallory GB, Elidemir O. Serum KL-6 as a marker for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 2006;82:709-711.
203. Bauwens AM, van de Graaf EA, van Ginkel WG, van Kessel DA, Otten HG. Pre-transplant soluble CD30 is associated with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2006;25:416-419.
204. Zhang Y, Wroblewski M, Hertz MI, Wendt CH, Cervenka TM, Nelsestuen GL. Analysis of chronic lung transplant rejection by MALDI-TOF profiles of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. *Proteomics* 2006;6:1001-1010.
205. Taghavi S, Krenn K, Jaksch P, Klepetko W, Aharinejad S. Broncho-alveolar lavage matrix metalloproteases as a sensitive measure of bronchiolitis obliterans. *Am J Transplant* 2005;5:1548-1552.
206. Brugière O, Thabut G, Mal H, Marceau A, Dauriat G, Marrash-Chahla R, Castier Y, Lesèche G, Colombat M, Fournier M. Exhaled NO may predict the decline in lung function in bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Eur Respir J* 2005;25:813-819.
207. Nord M, Schubert K, Cassel TN, Andersson O, Riise GC. Decreased serum and bronchoalveolar lavage levels of Clara cell secretory protein (CC16) is associated with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and airway neutrophilia in lung transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2002;73:1264-1269.
208. Belperio JA, DiGiovine B, Keane MP, Burdick MD, Ying Xue Y, Ross DJ, Lynch JP 3rd, Kunkel SL, Strieter RM. Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist as a biomarker for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2002;73:591-599.
209. Belperio JA, Keane MP, Burdick MD, Lynch JP 3rd, Xue YY, Berlin A, Ross DJ, Kunkel SL, Charo IF, Strieter RM. Critical role for the chemokine MCP-1/CCR2 in the pathogenesis of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *J Clin Invest* 2001;108:547-556.
210. Riise GC, Andersson BA, Kjellström C, Martensson G, Nilsson FN, Ryd W, Scherstén H. Persistent high BAL fluid granulocyte activation marker levels as early indicators of bronchiolitis obliterans after lung transplant. *Eur Respir J* 1999;14:1123-1130.
211. Reynaud-Gaubert M, Marin V, Thirion X, Farnarier C, Thomas P, Badier M, Bongrand P, Giudicelli R, Fuentes P. Upregulation of chemokines in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid as a predictive marker of post-transplant airway obliteration. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2002;21:721-30.
212. Stanbrook MB, Kesten S. Bronchial hyperreactivity after lung transplantation predicts early bronchiolitis obliterans. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;160:2034-2039.
213. Rajagopalan N, Maurer J, Kesten S. Bronchodilator response at low lung volumes predicts bronchiolitis obliterans in lung transplant recipients. *Chest* 1996;109:405-407.
214. Estenne M, Van Muylem A, Knoop C, Antoine M. Detection of obliterative bronchiolitis after lung transplantation by indexes of ventilation distribution. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;162:1047-1051.
215. Gast KK, Viallon M, Eberle B, Lill J, Puderbach MU, Hanke AT, Schmiedeskamp J, Kauczor HU. MRI in lung transplant recipients using hyperpolarized ³He: comparison with CT. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2002;15:268-274.
216. Tepper RS, Reister T. Forced expiratory flows and lung volumes in normal infants. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 1993;15:357-361.
217. Castile R, Filbrun D, Flucke R, Franklin W, McCoy K. Adult-type pulmonary function tests in infants without respiratory disease. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2000;30:215-227.
218. Estenne M, Maurer JR, Boehler A, Egan JJ, Frost A, Hertz M, Mallory GB, Snell GI, Yousem S. Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome 2001: an update of the diagnostic criteria. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2002;21:297-310.
219. Visner GA, Faro A, Zander DS. Role of transbronchial biopsies in pediatric lung diseases. *Chest* 2004;126:273-280.

220. Siegel MJ, Bhalla S, Gutierrez FR, Hildebolt C, Sweet S. Post-lung transplantation bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: usefulness of expiratory thin-section CT for diagnosis. *Radiology* 2001;220:455-462.
221. Griffith BP, Hardesty RL, Armitage JM, Kormos RL, Marrone GC, Duncan S, Paradis I, Dauber JH, Yousem SA, Williams P. Acute rejection of lung allografts with various immunosuppressive protocols. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1992;54:846-851.
222. Kesten S, Maidenberg A, Winton T, Maurer J. Treatment of presumed and proven acute rejection following six months of lung transplant survival. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;152:1321-1324.
223. Ross DJ, Lewis MI, Kramer M, Vo A, Kass RM. FK 506 'rescue' immunosuppression for obliterative bronchiolitis after lung transplantation. *Chest* 1997;112:1175-1179.
224. Kesten S, Rajagopalan N, Maurer J. Cytolytic therapy for the treatment of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome following lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 1996;61:427-430.
225. Snell GI, Esmore DS, Williams TJ. Cytolytic therapy for the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome complicating lung transplantation. *Chest* 1996;109:874-878.
226. Iacono AT, Keenan RJ, Duncan SR, Smaldone GC, Dauber JH, Paradis IL, Otori NP, Grgurich WF, Burckart GJ, Zeevi A, et al. Aerosolized cyclosporine in lung recipients with refractory chronic rejection. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;153:1451-1455.
227. Iacono AT, Corcoran TE, Griffith BP, Grgurich WF, Smith DA, Zeevi A, Smaldone GC, McCurry KR, Johnson BA, Dauber JH. Aerosol cyclosporin therapy in lung transplant recipients with bronchiolitis obliterans. *Eur Respir J* 2004;23:384-390.
228. Dusmet M, Maurer J, Winton T, Kesten S. Methotrexate can halt the progression of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1996;15:948-954.
229. Boettcher H, Costard-Jäckle A, Möller F, Hirt SW, Cremer J. Methotrexate rescue therapy in lung transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2002;34:3255-3257.
230. Verleden GM, Buyse B, Delcroix M, Fabri R, Vanhaecke J, Van Raemdonck D, Lerut T, Demedts M. Cyclophosphamide rescue therapy for chronic rejection after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1999;18:1139-1142.
231. Whyte RI, Rossi SJ, Mulligan MS, Florn R, Baker L, Gupta S, Martinez FJ, Lynch JP 3rd. Mycophenolate mofetil for obliterative bronchiolitis syndrome after lung transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1997;64:945-948.
232. Speich R, Boehler A, Thurnheer R, Weder W. Salvage therapy with mycophenolate mofetil for lung transplant bronchiolitis obliterans: importance of dosage. *Transplantation* 1997;64:533-535.
233. Roman A, Bravo C, Monforte V, Reyes L, Canela M, Morell F. Preliminary results of rescue therapy with tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil in lung transplanted patients with bronchiolitis obliterans. *Transplant Proc* 2002;34:146-147.
234. Ussetti P, Laporta R, de Pablo A, Carreño C, Segovia J, Pulpón L. Rapamycin in lung transplantation: preliminary results. *Transplant Proc* 2003;35:1974-1977.
235. Groetzner J, Wittwer T, Kaczmarek I, Ueberfuhr P, Strauch J, Nagib R, Meiser B, Franke U, Reichart B, Wahlers T. Conversion to sirolimus and mycophenolate can attenuate the progression of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and improves renal function after lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 2006;81:355-360.
236. Knoop C, Antoine M, Vachiéry JL, Yernault JC, Estenne M. FK 506 rescue therapy for irreversible airway rejection in heart-lung transplant recipients: report on five cases. *Transplant Proc* 1994;26:3240-3241.
237. Reichenspurner H, Meiser BM, Kur F, Wagner F, Welz A, Uberfuhr P, Briegel H, Reichart B. First experience with FK 506 for treatment of chronic pulmonary rejection. *Transplant Proc* 1995;27:2009.
238. Kesten S, Chaparro C, Scavuzzo M, Gutierrez C. Tacrolimus as rescue therapy for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1997;16:905-912.

239. Mentzer RM Jr, Jahania MS, Lasley RD. Tacrolimus as a rescue immunosuppressant after heart and lung transplantation. The U.S. Multicenter FK506 Study Group. *Transplantation* 1998;65:109-113.
240. Revell MP, Lewis ME, Llewellyn-Jones CG, Wilson IC, Bonser RS. Conservation of small-airway function by tacrolimus/cyclosporine conversion in the management of bronchiolitis obliterans following lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2000;19:1219-1223.
241. Fieguth HG, Krueger S, Wiedenmann DE, Otterbach I, Wagner TO. Tacrolimus for treatment of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after unilateral and bilateral lung transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2002;34:1884.
242. Cairn J, Yek T, Banner NR, Khaghani A, Hodson ME, Yacoub M. Time-related changes in pulmonary function after conversion to tacrolimus in bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2003;22:50-57.
243. Sarahrudi K, Estenne M, Corris P, Niedermayer J, Knoop C, Glanville A, Chaparro C, Verleden G, Gerbase MW, Venuta F, et al. International experience with conversion from cyclosporine to tacrolimus for acute and chronic lung allograft rejection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2004;127:1126-1132.
244. O'Hagan A.R., Stillwell P.C., Arroliga A, Koo A. Photopheresis in the Treatment of Refractory Bronchiolitis Obliterans Complicating Lung Transplantation. *Chest* 1999;115:1459-1462.
245. Salerno CT, Park SJ, Kreykes NS, Kulick DM, Savik K, Hertz MI, Bolman RM 3rd. Adjuvant treatment of refractory lung transplant rejection with extracorporeal photopheresis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1999;117:1063-1069.
246. Marques MB, Schwartz J. Update on extracorporeal photopheresis in heart and lung transplantation. *J Clin Apher* 2011;26:146-151.
247. Slovis BS, Loyd JE, King LE Jr.. Photopheresis for chronic rejection of lung allografts. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:962.
248. Rook AH, Cohen JH, Lessin SR, Vowels BR. Therapeutic applications of photopheresis. *Derm Clin* 1993;11:339-343.
249. Villanueva Bhorade SM, Robinson JA, Husain AN, Garrity ER Jr.. Extracorporeal photopheresis for the treatment of lung allograft rejection. *Ann Transplant* 2000;5:44-47.
250. Benden C, Speich R, Hofbauer GF, Irani S, Eich-Wanger C, Russi EW, Weder W, Boehler A.. Extracorporeal photopheresis after lung transplantation: a 10-year single-center experience. *Transplantation* 2008;86:1625-1627.
251. Morrell MR, Despotis GJ, Lublin DM, Patterson GA, Trulock EP, Hachem RR. The efficacy of photopheresis for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2010;29:424-431.
252. Szczepiorkowski ZM, Winters JL, Bandarenko N, Kim HC, Linenberger ML, Marques MB, Sarode R, Schwartz J, Weinstein R, Shaz BH, et al. Guidelines on the use of therapeutic apheresis in clinical practice--evidence-based approach from the Apheresis Applications Committee of the American Society for Apheresis. *J Clin Apher* 2010;25:83-177.
253. Verleden GM, Lievens Y, Dupont LJ, Van Raemdonck DE, De Vleeschauwer SI, Vos R, Vanaudenaerde BM. Efficacy of total lymphoid irradiation in azithromycin nonresponsive chronic allograft rejection after lung transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2009;41:1816-1820.
254. Fisher AJ, Rutherford RM, Bozzino J, Parry G, Dark JH, Corris PA. The safety and efficacy of total lymphoid irradiation in progressive bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2005;5:537-543.
255. Diamond DA, Michalski JM, Lynch JP, Trulock EP 3rd. Efficacy of total lymphoid irradiation for chronic allograft rejection following bilateral lung transplantation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1998;41:795-800.
256. Kanoh S, Rubin BK. Mechanisms of action and clinical application of macrolides as immunomodulatory medications. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2010;23:590-615.

257. Gerhardt SG, McDyer JF, Girgis RE, Conte JV, Yang SC, Orens JB. Maintenance azithromycin therapy for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: results of a pilot study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;168:121-125.
258. Verleden GM, Dupont LJ. Azithromycin therapy for patients with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. *Transplantation* 2004;77:1465-1467.
259. Yates B, Murphy DM, Forrest IA, Ward C, Rutherford RM, Fisher AJ, Lordan JL, Dark JH, Corris PA. Azithromycin reverses airflow obstruction in established bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;172:772-775.
260. Shitrit D, Bendayan D, Gidon S, Saute M, Bakal I, Kramer MR. Long-term azithromycin use for treatment of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2005;24:1440-1443.
261. Verleden GM, Vanaudenaerde BM, Dupont LJ, Van Raemdonck DE. Azithromycin reduces airway neutrophilia and interleukin-8 in patients with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;174:566-570.
262. Porhownik NR, Batobara W, Kepron W, Unruh HW, Bshouty Z. Effect of maintenance azithromycin on established bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung transplant patients. *Can Respir J* 2008;15:199-202.
263. Jain R, Hachem RR, Morrell MR, Trulock EP, Chakinala MM, Yusef RD, Huang HJ, Mohanakumar T, Patterson GA, Walter MJ. Azithromycin is associated with increased survival in lung transplant recipients with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2010;29:531-537.
264. Benden C, Boehler A. Long-term clarithromycin therapy in the management of lung transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2009;87:1538-1540.
265. Dhillon GS, Valentine VG, Levitt J, Patel P, Gupta MR, Duncan SR, Seoane L, Weill D. Clarithromycin for prevention of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in lung allograft recipients. *Clin Transplant* 2012;26:105-10. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0012.2011.01420.x. Epub 2011 Mar 1.
266. Oelschlager BK, Chang L, Pope CE 2nd, Pellegrini CA. Typical GERD symptoms and esophageal pH monitoring are not enough to diagnose pharyngeal reflux. *J Surg Res* 2005;128:55-60.
267. Davis CS, Gagermeier J, Dilling D, Alex C, Lowery E, Kovacs EJ, Love RB, Fisichella PM. A review of the potential applications and controversies of non-invasive testing for biomarkers of aspiration in the lung transplant population. *Clin Transplant* 2010;24:E54-61.
268. Hopkins PM, Kermeen F, Duhig E, Fletcher L, Gradwell J, Whitfield L, Godinez C, Musk M, Chambers D, Gotley D, et al. Oil red O stain of alveolar macrophages is an effective screening test for gastroesophageal reflux disease in lung transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2010;29:859-864.
269. Linden PA, Gilbert RJ, Yeap BY, Boyle K, Deykin A, Jaklitsch MT, Sugarbaker DJ, Bueno R. Laparoscopic fundoplication in patients with end-stage disease awaiting transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2006;131:438-446.
270. Gasper WJ, Sweet MP, Hoopes C, Leard LE, Kleinhenz ME, Hays SR, Golden JA, Patti MG. Antireflux surgery for patients with end-stage lung disease before and after lung transplantation. *Surg Endosc* 2008;22:495-500.
271. O'Halloran EK, Reynolds JD, Lau CL, Manson RJ, Davis RD, Palmer SM, Pappas TN, Clary EM, Eubanks WS. Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication for treating reflux in lung transplant recipients. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2004;8:132-137.
272. Lau CL, Palmer SM, Howell DN, McMahon R, Hadjiliadis D, Gaca J, Pappas TN, Davis RD, Eubanks S. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery in the lung transplant population. *Surg Endosc* 2002;16:1674-1678.
273. Zheng C, Kane TD, Kurland G, Irlano K, Spahr J, Potoka DA, Weardon PD, Morell VO. Feasibility of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication after pediatric lung or heart-lung transplantation: should this be the standard? *Surg Endosc* 2011;25:249-254.

274. Burton PR, Button B, Brown W, Lee M, Roberts S, Hassen S, Bailey M, Smith A, Snell G. Medium-term outcome of fundoplication after lung transplantation. *Dis Esophagus* 2009;22:642-648.
275. Fisichella PM, Davis CS, Gagermeier J, Dilling D, Alex CG, Dorfmeister JA, Kovacs EJ, Love RB, Gamelli RL. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery for gastroesophageal reflux disease after lung transplantation. *J Surg Res* 2011;170:e279-86.
276. Hartwig MG, Anderson DJ, Onaitis MW, Reddy S, Snyder LD, Lin SS, Davis RD. Fundoplication after lung transplantation prevents the allograft dysfunction associated with reflux. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;92:462-468.
277. Fisichella PM, Davis CS, Lundberg PW, Lowery E, Burnham EL, Alex CG, Ramirez L, Pelletiere K, Love RB, Kuo PC, et al. The protective role of laparoscopic antireflux surgery against aspiration of pepsin after lung transplantation. *Surgery* 2011;150:598-606.
278. Robertson AG, Krishnan A, Ward C, Pearson JP, Small T, Corris PA, Dark JH, Karat D, Shenfine J, Griffin SM. Anti-reflux surgery in lung transplant recipients: outcomes and effects on quality of life. *Eur Respir J* 2012;39:691-697.
279. Brugière O, Thabut G, Castier Y, Mal H, Dauriat G, Marceau A, Lesèche G. Lung retransplantation for bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome: long-term follow-up in a series of 15 recipients. *Chest* 2003; 123: 1832-1837.
280. Strueber M, Fischer S, Gottlieb J, Simon AR, Goerler H, Gohrbandt B, Welte T, Haverich A. Long-term outcome after pulmonary retransplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2006;132:407-412.
281. Aigner C, Jaksch P, Taghavi S, Lang G, Reza-Hoda MA, Wisser W, Klepetko W. Pulmonary retransplantation: is it worth the effort? A long-term analysis of 46 cases. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:60-65.
282. Osaki S, Maloney JD, Meyer KC, Cornwell RD, Edwards NM, De Oliveira NC. Redo lung transplantation for acute and chronic lung allograft failure: long-term follow-up in a single center. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;34:1191-1197.
283. Novick RJ, Stitt L, Schäfers HJ, Andréassian B, Duchatelle JP, Klepetko W, Hardesty RL, Frost A, Patterson GA. Pulmonary retransplantation: does the indication for operation influence postoperative lung function? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1996;112:1504-13.
284. Novick RJ, Stitt LW, Al-Kattan K, Klepetko W, Schäfers HJ, Duchatelle JP, Khaghani A, Hardesty RL, Patterson GA, Yacoub MH. Pulmonary retransplantation: predictors of graft function and survival in 230 patients. *Pulmonary Retransplant Registry. Ann Thorac Surg* 1998;65:227-234.
285. Kawut SM, Lederer DJ, Keshavjee S, Wilt JS, Daly T, D'Ovidio F, Sonett JR, Arcasoy SM, Barr ML. Outcomes after lung retransplantation in the modern era. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;177:114-120.
286. Keshavjee S. Lung Retransplantation Comes of Age. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2006;132:226-228.
287. Zuckermann A, Reichenspurner H, Birsan T, Treede H, Deviatko E, Reichart B, Klepetko W. Cyclosporine A versus tacrolimus in combination with mycophenolate mofetil and steroids as primary immunosuppression after lung transplantation: one-year results of a 2-center prospective randomized trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;125:891-900.
288. Hachem RR, Yusef RD, Chakinala MM, Meyers BF, Lynch JP, Patterson GA, Trulock EP. A randomized controlled trial of tacrolimus versus cyclosporine after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2007;26:1012-1018.
289. Palmer SM, Baz MA, Sanders L, Miralles AP, Lawrence CM, Rea JB, Edwards LJ, Staples ED, Tanson VF, Davis RD. Results of a randomized, prospective, multicenter trial of mycophenolate mofetil versus azathioprine in the prevention of acute lung allograft rejection. *Transplantation* 2001;71:1772-1776.
290. McNeil K, Glanville AR, Wahlers T, Knoop C, Speich R, Mamelok RD, Maurer J, Ives J, Corris PA. Comparison of mycophenolate mofetil and azathioprine for prevention of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in de novo lung transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2006;81:998-1003.

291. Snell GI, Valentine VG, Vitulo P, Glanville AR, McGiffin DC, Loyd JE, Aris R, Sole A, Hmissi A, Pirron U, et al. Everolimus versus azathioprine in maintenance lung transplant recipients: an international, randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Am J Transplant* 2006;6:169-177.
292. Gullestad L, Mortensen SA, Eiskjær H, Riise GC, Mared L, Bjørtuft O, Ekmehag B, Jansson K, Simonsen S, Gude E, et al. Two-year outcomes in thoracic transplant recipients after conversion to everolimus with reduced calcineurin inhibitor within a multicenter, open-label, randomized trial. *Transplantation* 2010;90:1581-1589.
293. Treede H, Glanville A, Klepetko W, Lama R, Bravo C, Estenne M, Aubert J-D, Aboyoun C, Reichenspurner H. Risk of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome is twice as high in cyclosporine treated patients in comparison to tacrolimus 3 years after lung transplantation: results of a prospective randomized international trial of 248 patients. Abstract 99, International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL 2010.
294. Bhorade S, Ahya VN, Baz MA, Valentine VG, Arcasoy SM, Love RB, Seethamraju H, Alex CG, Bag R, Deoliveira NC, et al. Comparison of sirolimus with azathioprine in a tacrolimus-based immunosuppressive regimen in lung transplantation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:379-387.
295. Burton CM, Iversen M, Scheike T, Carlsen J, Andersen CB. Minimal acute cellular rejection remains prevalent up to 2 years after lung transplantation: a retrospective analysis of 2697 transbronchial biopsies. *Transplantation* 2008;85:547-53.
296. Valentine VG, Bonvillain RW, Gupta MR, Lombard GA, LaPlace SG, Dhillon GS, Wang G. Infections in lung allograft recipients: ganciclovir era. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:528-535.
297. Christie JD, Edwards LB, Aurora P, Dobbels F, Kirk R, Rahmel AO, Taylor DO, Kucheryavaya AY, Hertz MI. Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: twenty-fifth official adult lung and heart/lung transplantation report--2008. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008;27:957-969.
298. Dhillon GS, Zamora MR, Roos JE, Sheahan D, Sista RR, Van der Starre P, Weill D, Nicolls MR. Lung transplant airway hypoxia: a diathesis to fibrosis? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;182:230-236.

Table 1. ISHLT PGD Grading System.

Grade	PaO₂/FiO₂	Radiographic infiltrates consistent with pulmonary edema
0	>300	Absent
1	>300	Present
2	200-300	Present
s3	<200	Present